NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | July 22, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

WHOLE NATION EYES CHICAGO CONVENTION

Real Democrats Debate Revolt From New Deal

See Back Page

Christian France Forms Fascist State

Will Government Have to Regiment Unorganized Youth?

See Page 7

CORPORATIVE STATE ★ SOME QUOTES FROM LORD LOTHIAN ★ FLOYD GIBBONS COMES TO LIFE

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dinner Chat

Magazine Intended No Offense

MR. SUMNER BLOSSOM, editor of *American Magazine,* in a letter to the *Catholic Herald Citizen* of Milwaukee, quite promptly and completely explains the recent fiction story to which Catholic readers took offense.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is happy to print the editor's letter of apology. We do this voluntarily, without waiting for the publishers' request, which, no doubt, will be received later.

Including the *American's* fiction story in a review of Catholic press protests last week, this National Weekly said:

"There are some respectable traditions, and no little of sound Americanism, behind these publications which are being victimized."

Particularly, we think, this is true of *American Magazine.*

Apparently, it was not any one of these isolated instances of offense, so much as the evidence of a widespread and subtle anti-Christian activity that disturbs the Catholic press.

As SOCIAL JUSTICE intimated last week, we do not believe the magazine editors, for the most part, are consciously a party to this anti-Catholic, or anti-Christian, activity.

Editor Blossom's letter to the Milwaukee newspaper follows:

"Your letter of June 26, enclosing copies of the article and editorial which appeared in the *Catholic Herald Citizen,* gives us great concern. In publishing Miss Cram's story we had no such ideas as you have attributed to us.

"We are neither anti-Catholic, anti-clerical, or anti-Christian, *but, on the contrary, staunch admirers of all religions, of which by no means the least is the great Church of the Ages.*

"We would have been happier had you called the matter to our attention before publishing, especially since some of your readers may get the idea from your article and editorial that *'The Calm Before'* is factual and contemporary rather than fiction based on an incident in the Renaissance period.

"Nevertheless, we are extremely sorry that anyone could have read into any story we publish anything offensive to the Catholic Church, its clergy, or its laity, and hasten, therefore, to offer our apologies.

"We are now working on our October issue. In that number, published September 5, we will express ourselves similarly.

Sincerely,

Sumner Blossom,
Editor"

* * *

Avoid Second Mistake

"AFTER WAR comes peace. But in the muddled minds of men that term can take on many interpretations. That is why peace has not been lasting when war is over," observes the *Catholic Universe Bulletin* of Cleveland.

"In the very moment that the *Treaty of Versailles,* that ended the World War, was being drawn up, many said that the pact itself contained the seed for a new and greater war. Less than a quarter of a century has passed but these prognosticators have proved to be true prophets.

"Pope Benedict XV pleaded for a peace of moderation and reconciliation. His pleas were in vain.

"When France asked Germany for an armistice the present pontiff directed an appeal to Hitler and Mussolini to be generous in their terms to a fallen foe. Pope Pius XII has been the world's foremost spokesman for a just peace.

". . . We pray that the world will not make a second mistake by rejecting the counsel of the Vicar of the Prince of Peace when terms for ending this war are discussed."

Boss's Diet Worries Pete

HELLO FOLKS:

Well, here it is time t' visut with y' again. As Pal says, it seems y' don't have time t' turn 'round 'fore th' week is up 'n a new ishue uv SOCIAL JUSTICE hasta get on th' streets 'n y' hafta have y're littul say-so in it wether y've got ennything t' say that's int'restin' 'r not. So, I'll do my bes' to skrape up a few littul items f'r y'. Not much news came my way this week, though.

I tol' y' las' week 'bout th' taxes on my bones 'n biskuts — well, shure enuf, they've begun t' tell 'n I'm on a redoosin' diet not by choice, like so menny peepul are, but 'cause uv outside force. I might's well resign myself t' it, 'cause when Mrs. Coughlin decides on a thing—well, that's that. 'N she says if I wanna stay 'round on her premusus I've gotta do like th' rest uv 'em 'n cut down expensus.

I wunder, though, if it's all nesussary 'r justa fad. This diet bizness might be ketchen. D'y' think so? I wouldn't be suprized, 'n I think I've been expozed t' it by Pal, whose been expozed t' th' diet bug by his Boss.

* * *

Pal was down visutin' with me on one uv our rainy days — uv which we've had more'n enuf t' suit me — so he tol' me all about it. My heavuns! It mus' be somethin' terrifik t' watch.

Pal ses there's a reg'lar ritchool his Boss goes through 'fore he sits down t' th' table—I can't say 'fore he eats, 'cause what he konsumes I don't call eatin'—'leastwise, it's not the reel McCoy t' my way uv thinkin'—'n eatin'.

Well, Pal ses he's gotten usta it 'n he doesn't say a word, but jus' watches his Boss while he's in th' prosess uv fadin' away t' a shadow uv his former self.

He is bound t' get back his boyish figger, so he ses, 'n b'golly, it looks like he'll make it. But, oh, me, look what he hasta give up! Firs' thing in th' mornin' he hops on th' skale in his bathroom—a bran' new one, Pal ses it is, that he got from someone for his annaversry a coupla weeks ago, 'n if he's put on an ounce'r two he announsus he'll have no brekfust. At lunchtime he again puts on a good imatashun uv a hunger strike 'n eats, 'r rather, drinks some fruit juice 'n sits 'n brags 'bout how he's losin' weight wile all th' others sit 'n eat what they want, not karin' a hoot, apparuntly, Pal ses, how meny notchus th' Boss is takin' up his belt.

Pal wonders how long it'll last. 'N so does Mary. Now there's a woman I feel sorry f'r durin' all this diet biznuss. All th' men's quirks 'n pekuliaruties worry her t' death. At firs' she was worried that her cookin' didn't sute 'n she racked her brains t' fix dishus t' tempt their appatites. That didn't work, 'n she was redy t' pack up her duds 'n git. I guess there's nothin' so diskoragin' t' a good cook than t' have dishus uv food come back untuched. Now she knows how t' plan so it isn't so bad. But she'll be glad when he eats like he usta. Pal 'n my guess is he will—'n soon, 'cause he's a kretchur uv moods 'n they all pass away sooner 'r later.

'N here I am, a young fella with a helthy appatite 'n whut happuns? They cut down my rashuns 'n say I've gotta help keep down expensus, on akkount uv high prices 'n say I'll hafta get usta it 'cause things won't change very soon. Woe is me! I'll hafta keep my slim streemlined figger wether I like it 'r not, 'n tighten up my collar so it won't hang on me like a oversized ring uv boloney. Isn't it awful? Life is sertunly a funny thing—those that hafta be koxed t' eat don't wanna eat, 'n those that c'n devour ennything 'n ev'rything with nary a worry 'bout stummik aches 'r poundage hafta skimp 'n do th' best they can with what's handed out t' 'em.

* * *

Oh, well, I guess things could be worse. Life isn't all dark 'n dreary. When I get sorta weak 'n weary, which is only natchrul, I unnerstan' while y'r stummik is shrinkin', I think 'bout you folks 'n how swell y'are 'n how y're workin' t' help us keep SOCIAL JUSTICE goin' 'n ev'rything 'n I feel like life is pretty fine after all. I guess havin' frien's 'n knowin' they're right there when y' need 'em is one uv th' fines' things that can happen t' a person. I've got lots uv blessin's t' count—guess I'd better remember that 'n quit worryin' bout that stummik that's howlin' right this minut f'r a littul nerishmunt.

While it's howling f'r food, remember Pete, th' Circulashun Manager is howlin' f'r subskripshuns. 'N I bet y're wishin' I'd be gettin' on my way so's y' can get on with what y' were doin' 'fore I dropped in on y'.

Well, s'long. I'll see y' nex' week if I c'n make th' grade.

—Pete

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 6 July 22, 1940 No. 4

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON

Date_____

Name _____

Address_____ City_____

State_____ Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., Royal Oak, Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Chicago Convention

MORE THAN 2,000 delegates and alternates are assembled at Chicago to nominate a president and vice president on the Democratic ticket. In some back-room, probably, a brain trust of the Democratic Party will write a platform.

Who will be selected as the candidates?

Seven years of Franklin Delano Roosevelt have proven to be seven years of want in the midst of plenty. It is true that under his presidency some sound social philosophy for labor and society in general was pronounced. It is not true that this philosophy was carried into effective action.

Mr. Roosevelt, because of his golden voice and platitudinous pronouncements, gained the support of the American electorate.

He proved himself, however, to be a man of words and not of deeds.

His record stands immutable.

He attempted to entangle us in the World Court and League of Nations.

He sacrificed the good will of civilization for America by shining the shoes of John Bull.

He smiled upon sit-down strikes without intervening in the cause of justice.

He belittled the *Constitution of the United States.*

He ventured upon a Supreme Court Packing Program.

He made secret bargains for the sale of American armaments to the Allies.

He punched Congress into a coma of subserviency.

He took punitive measures against those who disagreed with him and cried "hands off" of those who bowed before him.

He accepted huge gifts from radicals to further his political campaigns.

He refrained from denouncing the Communists who tendered him their support at the polls.

He surrounded himself with internationalists, brain trusters and un-Americans.

He inveigled us into the first steps of a war, which he, more than any living person, was responsible for starting.

Will this gentleman so influence the delegates and alternates at the Chicago Convention as to persuade them to re-nominate him *in perpetuum* in the office of the President?

As for the platform which the New Dealers will write, if they gain control of the Democratic Convention, no one will place credence in it.

We still remember the 1932 platform filled with high hopes. It is a broken testament.

If the Democrats have sense they will nominate a candidate who is not a politician;

They will nominate a candidate who is a real American;

They will nominate a candidate who is a rebel against New Dealism;

They will nominate a candidate who not only talks about Christianity but who surrounds himself with Christians in proportion to the number of them in this nation;

They will nominate a candidate who will banish class hatred;

They will nominate a candidate who is not bent upon building up a dynasty;

They will nominate a candidate who puts patriotism above partyism and peace above war.

We have had enough of the Kuhn, Loeb backstage direction in the United States of America.

If the Democrats can not see this point, they can prepare themselves for extinction in November, 1940.

However, Franklin D. Roosevelt will be tendered the nomination.

' ' '

The Alien New Deal

SOME TIME AGO there was published a pamphlet which aimed to show in graphic form that America is controlled by an invisible government.

It listed Messrs. Frankfurter, Brandeis, Baruch and Morgenthau as the moving spirits behind the New Deal.

It made the statement that possibly 18,000 of these "key" internationalists are now dictating American policies and then proceeded to ask the question:

When will America again be ruled by Americans for Americans?

The people of France could have asked a similar question these past 70 years.

The people of England are asking a similar question today.

In fine, what international-minded group, through their control of gold, gained control over democratic governments, over means of communications, over financial systems, over entertainment and over the policies not only of public civic officials but, in some cases, of ecclesiastical and educational officials?

This coming presidential campaign, if Mr. Roosevelt is nominated on the Democratic ticket, bids fair to bring this subversive influence into the open.

If the New Dealers dominate the Democratic convention and select Mr. Roosevelt as their 1940 candidate, we fear that this will be the occasion for a national wave of bigotry to arise against the Jews, whom he has befriended in his administration altogether out of proportion.

Index to Comment

Chicago Convention; The Alien New Deal; Fascist France; Corporative State Page 3
Democracy; Edward and Wallis; Rothschild Page 4
National Defense; Motordom; 1939 Taxes Page 5
Jewish Plot; At It Again; Congressman Rankin; Bells; Osservatore Romano; Birkhead Page 6
Our Youth—Yes or No?; Walter Winchell; H. L. Mencken Page 7
The London Catholic Herald; Is This So?; The Dublin Standard; Opportunity Page 12
Floyd Gibbons—Come to Life Page 13
Our War; Total Christianity Page 14

Fascist France

THE THIRD French Republic is dead.

In fact, the French Revolution is dead.

The words, "liberty, equality and fraternity," are ignominiously cashiered.

And who mourns?

The story of the Third French Republic, born following the French defeat some 70 years ago, is a story of crass materialism, anti-clericalism and imperial oppression.

During its 70-year history, the unseen hands that guided the destiny of the Third French Republic moved consistently against Christianity. Although France boasted of a population 95 per cent Catholic, seldom did Catholics ever rise to the highest offices.

Subversive secret societies dominated the political life of the country.

Democracy, it is true, granted the citizens the right to vote but did not grant them the right to select the candidates for whom they voted.

And for 50 of these 70 years France was nothing more than a financial vassal state of Great Britain.

The same unseen spirits directing the activities of the Bank of England regulated the course of the Bank of France.

At length the frothiness of error preached by Voltaire, Rousseau and Condorcet has been blown by the winds of oblivion.

The much-heralded liberty of the revolutionists was nothing more than liberty to get along without God and His justice.

The highly praised equality was nothing more than a mask under which was hidden the slimy serpent of protected secret societies.

And the hypocritical fraternity long since was known as the fraternity in the trenches, in the hovels and in the slums, where the poor were herded and taxed and exploited by the international money changers.

One does not know what the future holds for France. But one does know that Fascist France in days to come will afford better opportunities for the mental, spiritual and social development of its people than did the France that was ruled by the spirit of the atheist Voltaire.

' ' '

Corporative State

IT IS REPORTED that Mr. Roosevelt has turned thumbs down upon the theory of a corporative state.

Some years ago Pope Pius XI turned thumbs up on a corporative state.

A corporative state is one in which all classes of citizens—labor, agriculture, industrial, professional, servants, etc. — cooperate with each other for the common welfare of all and for the class welfare of each.

Basically, a corporative state can exist under the *Constitution of the United States.* Basically, a corporative state can exist under democracy.

Incidentally, representative government is part and parcel of a corporative state.

But political partyism is not necessarily so.

In a corporative state all classes should be represented in Congress and no class should dominate Congress for the benefit of any particular class.

But Mr. Roosevelt has turned thumbs down on this proposition.

Meanwhile, France has set up a corporative state, having tired of the eye-wash identified with liberty, equality and fraternity which produced wars, poverty and discontent.

(Continued on Page 4)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

The slogan itself — "Liberty, Equality and Fraternity"—is commendable but the manipulators of government, who hid behind the slogan, were reprehensible.

In other words, party politics was the biggest racket in France in which party politicians served their unseen masters instead of the French people.

Congratulations, therefore, to Field Marshall Petain and to Vice Premier Laval for having broken with the past.

If the French people want a corporative state, that is their business.

If the American people do not want a corporative state, that is their business.

It is no nation's business to impose its ideologies and theories upon another nation.

Political bigotry and bull-doze-ism in the international sphere is no better than religious bigotry and bull-doze-ism in the local sphere.

If you do not like your neighbor's religion, it is not right to use the Mohammedan theory of scimiter and *Koran*. We mean it is not right to make him accept your *Koran* or else cut off his head with the scimiter.

The United States of America and Great Britain have no right to impose their political or economic theories upon others, if the others do not care to accept them.

The new constitution in France will have as its motto: "*For Labor, Farmer and Country*" rather than the old hypocrisy, "Liberty, Equality and Fraternity."

Field Marshall Petain is confronted with a Herculean job.

Starting with the family, he will outlaw divorce; he will prohibit birth control propaganda; he will advocate ownership of homes; he will support religion.

Moreover, if he follows the pattern of Franco of Spain and Salazar of Portugal, he will prohibit the publication of pornographic literature; he will cleanse the cinema; and he will attack every advance made by those who attempt to destroy the purity of the home.

If Field Marshal Petain follows Franco and Salazar, he will see that every man has a job; that every man is trained for a job; and that every worker will belong to a unit for the protection of his job—at a decent salary.

Moreover, he will recognize that it is difficult for a man to save his immortal soul unless he enjoys a modicum of economic security. Consequently, the golden calf will be led to the slaughter house; money will be predicated against the wealth of the nation instead of against the debts which international bankers create.

France is not finished. The immoralities which bowed France low are finished.

The France of tomorrow will be a France greater than was conceived by the atheists, the internationalists and the materialists who, at long last, have been exposed and will have been liquidated.

' ' '

Democracy

As Matters stand today, the United States of America is the only political unit on the face of the earth which pretends to uphold democracy in the common acceptance of the term.

We ask ourselves the question: Will democracy endure in the United States; or, better still, should democracy, as practiced in our midst, be perpetuated?

Democracy, according to Leo XIII, is any form of government (emphasis on the word "any") which works for the betterment of the people.

The past several years—dating more or less accurately since 1914—have caused many persons to doubt the desirability of perpetuating the so-called democracy through which our generation has lived.

The rich and unconscionable were free to exploit the poor.

The laborer and the farmer were free to starve or to eke out an existence that was most burdensome.

The citizenry was free to vote for Tweedle Dee or Tweedle Dum.

The nation was free to enjoy a representative form of government that was dominated by two political parties which were more concerned over perpetuating themselves and their camp followers in office than they were in the development of prosperity and justice for all.

Rugged individualism and crass materialism were licensed to operate without hindrance.

The past several years of this so-called democracy has written a history which is no credit to democracy.

Two-thirds of the nation live in poverty or on the verge of poverty.

Millions of properties have been confiscated through foreclosure.

Debts have pyramided and so have taxes.

Radicalism has been supported at home and honored abroad.

Like capitalism, democracy degenerated until it is more notorious for its vices than for its virtues.

Democracy in these United States, as currently understood, cannot and should not endure unless it is purged of its partyism, of its hidden control, of its Oriental financial system, of its international proclivities, of its theory of majorityism.

Many Americans labor under the opinion that majorityism is the essence of democracy.

Edward and Wallis

It Is Just a hop, skip and jump from the coast of Florida to Nassau, capital of the Bahama Islands.

The entire population of that little British domain could be packed into the Yankee Stadium very comfortably.

Probably they will pack themselves like sardines around the Governor's residence to catch a view of the Duke and Duchess of Windsor—Edward and Wallis—whom Winston Churchill has assigned as the British representatives in the little Caribbean colony.

It's a long way from the throne of the Emperor of India and King of England, etc., etc., to the Governor at Nassau.

Has Winston Churchill some ulterior motive in kicking His Royal Highness downstairs?

Miami, Florida, will be the metropolis and rendezvous for the imperial refugees.

Visitors there next season will have an opportunity to bask in the borrowed sunshine which belongs to Wallis.

These migrations of royalty to America and off-shore islands of America are just beginning.

Before we know it, the old-fashioned Yankees living on the borderland of Canada will be substituting "cheerio" for "what the heck."

Many uphold the theory that a majority vote defines what is right or wrong.

They forget that Christ stood alone in the hall of the Sanhedrin, out-voted and out-numbered.

They forget that Columbus stood alone in the court of Isabella, out-numbered and out-voted.

There is no magic in numbers.

Moreover, they forget that, under democracy, the world's worst wars were generated and fought for no good purpose.

They forget that under democracy, as we experienced it in our generation, the world's worst failures in distributing wealth were chronicled.

Incorporated in his speech at Charlottesville, Virginia, Mr. Roosevelt inferentially admitted that democracy was not working in America when he said that he could make it work.

Naturally and righteously, we lean towards democracy—and we support it.

Nevertheless, we recognize the truthfulness of the ancient axiom, "*Corruptio optimi pessima*"—which means that when the best things are corrupted, they produce the worst stench.

Democracy needs a thorough housecleaning.

Want in the midst of plenty must be liquidated.

Exploitation of the poor must be done away with.

Private control of money and credit must be abandoned.

Party politicians, who are bloated with the wind of promises, must be retired.

Discipline must be placed on the same plane as liberty.

Freedom must be distinguished from license.

Neighborliness must be distinguished from friendliness with Satanism.

Unless democracy is cleansed from within, it will perish from without.

In these days when we Americans stand as the only advocates of democracy in all this world, we should not deceive ourselves that it is a permanent fixture among the policies of men.

Democracy will not save us.

We must save democracy.

Unfortunately, it became identified with modern capitalism in some instances; with Communism in other instances; and with crass materialism in still other instances.

What shall we Americans do about it?

Shall we permit its abuses to overwhelm us?

Or, shall we be courageous enough to perform a political, surgical operation to remove these abuses from our midst?

' ' '

Rothschild

We Knew it would happen. According to a ship news report Baron Edward Rothschild has landed safely in New York accompanied by his wife.

They fled France, boarded the *Yankee Clipper*, and will now be our guests indefinitely.

Financially, they have been our guests for many years.

Incidentally, it is worth noting that the Baron brought a small black bag with him containing $1-million in jewelry.

We are not bothered so much about the jewelry. We are not concerned so much with the Baron's physical presence. We will not pause here to shed a tear for the millions of little children who could not get aboard the *Clipper*.

(Continued on Page 5)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 4)

What agitates us is that the Baron's ideologies have been here, are here, and will be here for days to come.

Josef Stalin's or Adolf Hitler's philosophy is just as welcome in America as is the Baron's; for, basically, there is little difference between international Marxism and international Rothschildism.

' ' '

National Defense

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S rearmament program is attaining colossal proportions.

Almost every day the public can expect to hear of another billion or so added on to the original budget of $3-billion.

At the rate he is proceeding, more than $25-billion will be allocated for national defense before this date next year.

We have launched a program to establish an army, to build a navy and to create an air force equal to the combined fighting equipment of Europe and Asia.

It is a program predicated upon the advertised fear that the totalitarians abroad will invade America.

While there is a possibility that such an invasion might happen, there is the suspicion that our proposed tremendous fighting equipment will be used in conjunction with the remnants of the British navy to pick a fight with Europe and Asia in order to sustain not democracy but the modern capitalistic system based on gold and debt.

In other words, many persons are beginning to believe that behind our national defense program, which will cost us billions upon billions of dollars, there is the intrigue of the international bankers to regiment the human, the mechanical and the financial resources of this nation in order to save the hoard of gold buried at Fort Knox and their waning control over the destinies of the world.

Mr. Roosevelt's domestic policies have been a failure. Witness the 10-million unemployed.

Mr. Roosevelt's foreign policies have been a greater failure. Witness the hostility displayed towards us by every nation in the world save Britain.

We are in sad need of national defense.

Nevertheless, it is more important to defend ourselves against the enemies within our gates.

It is more important to defend ourselves against invasion by foreign ideologies.

Let us be sensible. America cannot fight the world that is now regimented to destroy international capitalism.

There is more danger of America's being destroyed by an internal revolution than by the combined forces of its potential enemies abroad.

After four years of a national defense program in which, probably, $100-billion will have been spent on armament, equipment and other items incident to the program, the citizens of the country will be called upon to pay taxes three to four times higher than they are paying now.

Then comes a period when the defense program will have been completed.

Then war-mongers and international capitalists will propagandize the nation into actual war.

Then comes defeat or victory.

Then comes the closing down of factories where millions of men were engaged in manufacturing armament and war material.

Then comes unemployment, confiscation of properties and starvation.

Then comes revolution and repudiation of debts.

The above are the steps which lead to chaos as a result of having had a President who went about the world carrying a chip on his shoulder.

It is most important that we take a long view of this entire affair.

It is most important that we think of our children and their children who will be forced to carry the cross of gold to a Calvary of doom.

When will we have an administration at Washington which will try to get along with other nations?

When will we have an administration at Washington which will consider the lives and the happiness of Americans as more important than the gold and the international capitalism for which they are preparing to risk all?

Mr. Roosevelt did not exhibit too much fondness for the *Constitution of the United States* or for the old-fashioned Americanism which made us great.

He tried to inject us into the League of Nations.

He tried to pervert the *Constitution.*

He tried to pack the Supreme Court.

He tried to make us a vassal of British financialism.

It is most inopportune, therefore, for him to preach national defense for Americanism when he himself took the offensive against it.

Behind the program of national defense lies a hidden hand and a hidden policy.

There are many of us who suspect that its main objective is the defense of internationalism and of internationalists throughout the world.

The majority of American citizens care not one whit about international capitalism. They do care for their jobs, their homes, their comforts.

They do not care about liberty in the abstract liberty to starve or to pay taxes.

They do care for liberty in the concrete no matter under what kind of a financial system it can be obtained.

Let us have national defense.

But let us begin by ousting from office those international politicians who have created the need for national defense.

' ' '

Motordom

WE SUSPECT that the national defense program is about one-fifth national defense and four-fifths political defense.

We mean that the New Dealers, having failed to re-employ 10-million persons in peace-time, are yelling about national defense to employ them for war purposes.

Now there is going to be a "goat." That is sure.

The national defense program is going to bog down like every other New Deal program. That is sure.

And who will be the goat? According to a United Press dispatch, "The automobile industry has made practically no progress on national defense work because 'official Washington does not seem to know what it wants produced in auto plants,' *Iron Age* declared today.

"William F. Sherman, Detroit editor of the publication, charged that the public is being misinformed about preparedness measures by 'political hoopla' from Washington.

" 'No orders have been placed yet for the mass production of anything except trucks,' he said.

" 'A widespread impression is that a great deal of actual military production is going on in Detroit on tanks, airplanes, engines, guns, shells, etc., or that at least large-scale preparations are being made quietly for production of these items.

" 'Because public opinion is fixed on the idea that a real defense program has already been begun in the United States,' he added, 'it is believed by industry in Detroit that eventual disillusionment of the public mind on this vital subject will react in such a way that industry, and the auto industry in particular, will be the "goat".' "

It is regrettable that the American people are being fed the thin skimmed milk of political promises.

However, those in the automobile industry will refuse to be goated or goaded by the New Dealers who are preparing to go to the country on November 4th to save America from Naziism.

The truth of it is we are in more need of being saved from New Dealism.

' ' '

1939 Taxes

ALMOST 30 per cent of a factory worker's pay envelope is siphoned by the Government to pay consumers' taxes.

That is a high premium to pay for the privilege of working.

Not only the laborer, but the industrialist is suffering from financial blindfoldedness and from international trickery as practised by this present administration.

The nation's major corporations (183 corporations), now facing increased taxes to help finance the national defense program, paid taxes in 1939 totaling 54 per cent of their net earnings.

This figure was publicized last week by the American Federation of Investors, Inc.

It was disclosed at the meeting of this group that many corporations are anticipating another increase of from 20 to 25 per cent in their tax burdens for 1940, 1941 and 1942.

Probably you have observed that the stock market is in the doldrums. Last week registered a twenty-year low sales transaction on the market.

In other words, last week fewer stocks and shares were sold in corporations than were sold in any period for the past twenty years.

There is a reason.

Investors now realize that it is almost impossible to make decent profits from their investments.

Investors prefer to keep their money in their strong boxes.

Unreasonable taxation freezes markets, frightens capital, closes factories and brings about depression.

The report to which we are referring showed that 183 corporations paid nearly twice as much in taxes last year as they did in dividends on common stock.

The taxes averaged $3.05 on each share of common stock, while the dividends averaged $1.62 a share.

Whether the workman knows it or not, there is required, approximately, $6,000 of invested capital to provide one workman with a job.

The amount required in the electrical utility industry is $47,000 to provide a job for a worker.

(Continued on Page 6)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 5)

Therefore, every $6,000 taken out of industry through the process of New Deal taxation deprives one man of a job and one family of security.

Leo XIII taught that "capital needs labor and labor needs capital."

It is wrong for capital to fight against labor for the purpose of enslaving it.

It is wrong for labor to fight against capital for the purpose of destroying it.

Both must work together on a just basis.

It is also wrong for government to fight against capital and labor in order to protect an obsolete system of finance.

One wonders if the Democratic or New Deal platform to be enunciated this week at Chicago will take these matters into consideration.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the war party.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the depression party.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the internationalist party.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the sit-down-strike party.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the gold hoard party.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the money changers' party.

Already the Democrats are recognized as the class hatred party.

Will the Democrats, at this convention, liquidate these recognitions and attempt to become the American party on the basis of America for Americans and peace for the world?

It will be impossible for them to do so if they continue in office Franklin Delano Roosevelt, Cordell Hull, Henry Morgenthau and the hosts of internationalists with whom they have surrounded themselves.

Both labor and industry recognize that they must co-operate with each other for the welfare of Americans.

That is a big enough job in itself without trying to regulate the activities of the world.

The Democrats have so increased taxes on both labor and industry—and have arrived nowhere in doing so—that this nation is demanding a complete reversal of policy on their part if they hope to be re-elected to office.

Jewish Plot

NO ONE WILL ever find out the truth about the numbers of Jews who have been brought into the United States as refugees these past three years.

We are inclined to make this statement after having read of a plot unearthed at Buenos Aires, Argentina, this past month.

According to an Associated Press dispatch, the newspaper *El Mundo's* correspondent in Salta reported that authorities in the northern provinces were re-enforcing vigilance along the Bolivian border because they discovered that an international ring had tried to smuggle 15,000 Jews into Argentina from Bolivia.

Of one thing we are certain, namely, that there were not that many German Christians, Dutch Christians, Belgian Christians, French Christians, English Christians or Finnish Christians smuggled into any port of North or South America.

The Jews were the lucky ones insofar as they escaped the fury of the war.

However, the same Associated Press dispatch informs us that many Jewish refugees from Germany have gone to Bolivia.

It is just a side note of interest to all Americans.

At It Again

ACCORDING to an Associated Press dispatch the Foreign Policy Association (an American organization) suggested that the United States could solve its critical problems in the Far East by negotiating an agreement with Russia.

This organization wants America to promise maintenance of China's independence and the *status quo* in Southeastern Asia.

According to this organization, the United States will shake hands with any Judas Iscariot and sleep with any Bluebeard as long as certain ends can be procured.

Too long have we been consorting with Russia through the channels of diplomatic relations.

Too long have we been cozening the activities of Russia in this country—even in high-ranking places in government.

Nevertheless, the Foreign Policy Association is simply echoing what Mr. Roosevelt has said time and again.

F.D.R., in his memorable speech recognizing Oumansky from Moscow to Washington as ambassador, let the cat out of the bag.

And that cat has been howling on the back fence of the New Deal much to the discomfort of the tired, sleepless inhabitants of the White House.

Congressman Rankin

THE HEAT got him!

Believe it or not, New Dealer Rankin from Mississippi is all wrought up over the new *Hatch clean politics bill.*

As you know, this bill prohibits all officers and employes in certain governmental divisions from participating in politics.

Then came Wendell Willkie, former head of a great public utilities corporation, to battle with the greatest head of all corporations — Franklin Roosevelt.

To even matters up, Mr. Humidity Rankin introduced an amendment to the *Hatch bill* that would prohibit all employes of public utilities from engaging in political activities.

This Mississippi misanthrope would bar street car conductors, Edison service men, gas meter readers, etc., etc., from political activities.

We hope the heat wave will not submerge the delegates at Chicago so that they will rank with Rankin.

Bells

THANK GOD you are not living in England these days.

By Government order, church bells will cease to ring to call people to church.

In future they will be sounded to give warning of the approach of parachutists.

In the present emergency the bells will be under the control of the military.

That appears to be news.

Since the days of Henry VIII the church bells in many parts of England were under the control of the politicians.

In fact, the Archbishops, Bishops, Deans and minor clergy were under the control of the politicians.

All of which brings up the question: "What will happen to the Anglican Church if England will be surrendered by Britain to the totalitarians?"

Possibly, the bells will ring in freedom again, independent of the military.

Possibly, there will be a revival of religion free from the shackles of state control.

It was all very well for us to damn Hitler for having interfered with the activities of the Church in Germany.

When all was said and done, he merely copied a page from the book of English history. Yet he did not go so far as did his British teacher who confiscated churches, despoiled monasteries and assassinated Blessed John Fisher and Sir Thomas More.

Bells have a peculiar way of sounding out their message. Sometimes they recall peculiar thoughts.

Osservatore Romano

ACCORDING to the *New York Times,* the *Osservatore Romano* said on July 8, relative to Fascist France:

"An editorial full of praise for Premier Henri Philippe Petain and his efforts to recognize France is published in the *Osservatore Romano* today. This is only the second time since Italy entered the war that the Vatican City newspaper has passed any political opinion, and ordinarily it is not supposed to do so, in accordance with its agreement with the Italian government. However, in this case the stand taken is one that will please Italy, since Rome is also favoring Marshal Petain.

"The stand that the Vatican is taking in this matter is understood to be closely connected with the fear of a Left revolution in France if Marshal Petain falls.

"The occasion for the editorial is a letter addressed by French Catholic youth organizations to Marshal Petain pledging support. After praising this initiative the commentator, who is Msgr. Mario Boehm, writes glowingly of 'the brave marshal,' and 'the good marshal who, more than any other man, seems to personify the best traditions of his race.'

" 'It is of this old octogenarian that the youth invoke the renewal of their country with a happy intuition in that perennial youth which the religious, Christian, Catholic faith of the marshal knows how to guard to obtain for his sick country a prodigious spiritual cure,' the article states. 'Such is the dawn of a new radiant day, not only for France but for Europe and the world'."

Birkhead

REV. L. M. BIRKHEAD, national director of the Friends of Democracy, is a disappointed man.

His campaign, with that of the Jews, to smear the Christian Front, has spilled back into his face.

"Sally Rand" Birkhead, now devoid of his fine feathers, stands naked and exposed before the American public for what he is.

We sympathize with the Unitarian public throughout the nation, who were hurled into disrepute by this clerical cry-baby who thinks that Judge Campbell, the jury and the United States Government did not do right by our girl Nell.

And our girl Nell, in his vocabulary, is that type of Jew who, as yet, hangs around the Communist hotel and throws mud at all vocal Christians who pass by.

Please, "Birky," go on home to the Middle West and get yourself some feathers.

(Continued on Page 7)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 6)

Our Youth—Yes or No?

MANY RELIGIOUS and educational leaders protest strenuously when the State attempts to regiment the youth.

These same leaders are opposed to the State's taking over the organization of youth, particularly when the State, in turn, opposes this being done by the Church.

As far as the Church in America is concerned, it does not reach much more than one-seventh of the youth of the nation.

Six-sevenths of the youth of the nation are neglected or not contacted by the Church.

The danger to the country at large does not come from the one-seventh.

The chief danger lies in the ranks of the six-sevenths.

Now, from its foundation the State has exercised care for the youth of the nation—a care which amounts almost to regimentation.

Reference is made to the public school system, where approximately six-sevenths of the junior youth of the nation are taught.

And they are taught with State-produced teachers who use State-produced books.

Until the present, the youth of the nation has not been organized to any degree.

The Communists made an attempt to organize them with their American Youth movement.

The Catholics made an attempt to organize them with their C.Y.O. and other organizations.

The Jews made an attempt to organize them with their Jewish youth clubs.

All in all, these organizations are negligible when we consider the six-sevenths of youth who are unorganized.

What, therefore, is wrong if the State attempts to regiment the youth of the nation when churches and private organizations have failed?

By "regimentation" we mean athletic training, health training, manual training, mechanical training and, if necessary, military training.

It is readily admitted that the State performs only a mediocre job in the mental training of youth.

It is readily admitted that the State performs no job at all in the spiritual training.

The point is: Why neglect the six-sevenths of the youth of the nation in their athletic, health, manual and mechanical training?

If the churches cannot do this, they ought not to adopt the policy of dog-in-the-manger.

It is inescapable, if we will endure, to avoid a sane regimentation of youth—even from a material viewpoint.

We are a nation of some 130-million persons.

Fewer than 40-millions of us are affiliated with any church.

In fact, church affiliation has declined instead of increased during the past 20 years in proportion to population.

All this has taken place under democracy as practiced in our midst.

All this has taken place under modern capitalism as practiced in our midst.

All this has taken place under a system of spiritual *laissez-faireism* as practiced in our midst.

Is there any connection between so-called democracy, so-called capitalism, so-called spiritual *laissez-faireism* and the spiritual decline which is occurring?

Possibly Franco could tell us something about all this even in such a country as Catholic Spain.

Possibly Mussolini could tell us something about this in such a country as Catholic Italy.

Possibly Marshal Petain could tell us something about this in such a country as was France, the eldest daughter of the Church.

The State regimentation of youth will take place in America unless there is a sudden, wholehearted and almost miraculous awakening on the part of the religionists whose business it is to teach and organize the youth—a business which, some say, was neglected due to too much compromise and fraternizing with the enemies of Christianity and the politicians of materialism.

' ' '

Walter Winchell

THE READER'S indulgence is sought if his serenity is blasted with a literary sneeze; for the dust which filters through the keyhole is obnoxious.

This scandal-monger, plying his pediculous trade, has crawled all over the body of American readers so long that a time has come to stop squirming and apply to the affected parts a wholesome dose of rubbing alcohol.

Like other pediculous vermin, he is seldom there when you reach for him. He has gone elsewhere to seek new fields of destruction.

It would be unWinchell-like were he to take time out from his scandal poisoning to make an honest apology for the cruel hurt he inflicted upon the 17 young men—the Christian Fronters, so-called—whom he described as "alleged Benedict Arnolds."

You can hide anything behind the word "alleged," which is a journalistic coward's breastplate to avoid libel suits.

Meanwhile, an alleged apology should be forthcoming from the alleged reporter who has become notorious for spawning alleged infidelities and divorces.

' ' '

H. L. Mencken

THIS BRILLIANT writer for the *Baltimore Sun* papers persists in entertaining us with his *apropos* remarks.

Speaking of the New Deal attempt to get us into the war, he said:

"Above and beyond all this, the libido to rescue democracy and succor humanity is fast oozing out, for, though humanity still survives more or less, democracy is plainly on the toboggan . . .

"Nor is it at all certain that democracy will survive the coming fireworks in England. On the contrary, it may blow up before the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt can perfect his pious scheme to save it . . . No Englishman of any sense actually believes in democracy. If he gabbles about it, as all English politicians do, it is only as a means of hoodwinking the vulgar. England has been governed for many years past by a small group of prehensible gentry, and that gentry has resisted with vast success every effort, whether by the rising plutocracy or by the emerging proletariat, to wring its withers and pull its teeth.

"The technic it uses is absurdly simple but invariably effective. When a new man begins to thrust his neck above the mass, bellowing for liberty, equality and fraternity, its goons close in on him with Christian Science smiles, find out what it is that he really yearns for, and then give him a horse doctor's dose of it. The case of the late Ramsay MacDonald was typical. In his early days, when he seemed to be simply one more labor leader, no one paid any attention to him, but when he began to acquire influence and power the old camorra took him in hand, and in a little while he was in the corral . . .

"The English have long managed their colonies by this excellent device. In India, for example, they discourage and defeat the movement for independence by cutting salient leaders out of the herd, and debauching them with baubles. Some are knighted, others are given learned degrees, and yet others are simply invited to dinner with the Viceroy. All Englishmen detest Hindus as violently as a Mississippi cracker detests the secretary of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People: but let a concrete Hindu of any influence appear in London, and if he has on so much as a pair of pants, the wrecking crew of duchesses pounces on him, and he is invited to Oxford to lecture on the *Bhagavad-Gita* . . .

"In all social respects and in most cultural respects the United States is still an English colony, so the English plan for dealing with Americans is much like their plan for dealing with colonials. If the chosen victim is a Harvard professor, Oxford gives him an honorary degree. If he is a millionaire, he is invited to shoot grouse with the Duke of Norfolk. If he is a United States Senator, he is taken on a tour of the great English breweries and distilleries, and given a card forbidding any policeman to arrest him. And if he is President of the Republic, he is visited by the Prince of Wales (or, in grave cases, by the King in person), and praised for both his ideology and his hot dogs . . ."

Mr. Mencken writes fearlessly and realistically.

In fact, he says things which we might not care to say as of ourselves.

Someday we hope that our contemporary will distinguish between the British and the English.

There are no finer people in the world than the English people.

Yet, there are no more subversive people in the world than the Britishers who dwell wherever their flag of fortune calls them.

It is difficult for Americans to catch on to this distinction.

However, one can be a good American without being a good New Dealer.

' ' '

Arthur Krock

ARTHUR KROCK is, perhaps, the best known Washington correspondent in America.

In the July 9th edition of the *New York Times*, Mr. Krock comments on Mr. Roosevelt's recent Hyde Park foreign policy pronouncement.

He said, relative to the Europe-for-the-Europeans and Asia-for-the-Asiatic philosophy:

"This was startling stuff, even when relayed by a Presidential secretary, without the aid of notes. There is something in it of '*Mein Kampf*,' something of the position taken in the official German note to Secretary Hull last week, and much to bring to mind the voice of the Japanese Foreign Office.

"For Berlin, Rome and Tokyo it seemed to echo their favorite formula. Germany, Italy and Soviet Russia could 'consult' with Norway, Denmark, the Netherlands. Bel-

(Continued on Page 12)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

Win With Willkie?

SINCE THE nomination of Wendell Willkie as candidate for the Republican Party, many things have happened.

British newspapers have praised his selection.

He himself has pussyfooted on the question of intervention in favor of the British Empire. And by "pussyfooting," in this instance, we mean that he said, substantially, that he would not send American boys to fight on foreign soil—unless the people (meaning the American people) wanted him to do so.

Now that statement is nothing more than a pint of persiflage.

Everybody knows how the President of the United States can control propaganda so effectively that he can get the people to want whatever he wants.

Witness Woodrow Wilson.

Witness Franklin Delano Roosevelt.

The poor people, with no other premises upon which to form their conclusion than what they read in the newspapers or hear over the radio, can be forced to conclude whatever the "manufacturers of premises" want them to conclude.

* * *

But back to the laudation of Wendell Willkie's nomination:

The *London Daily Telegraph* said that in his selection machine politics was defeated.

The *London News-Chronicle* said that his nomination was the "end of isolationism."

The *Yorkshire Post*, mouthpiece of Anthony Eden, described his nomination as "one of those minor miracles of which the Allied cause stands in need."

Jewish Lord Beaverbrook's *Express* said: "Aid for us ceases to be an issue in American politics. Both sides are for it."

The Jewish Reuter's, British news agency, said: "Well-informed British circles" say that Willkie's nomination was "a direct blow to Hitler."

* * *

Turning our attention to home news, General Johnson, the ardent friend of Bernard Baruch, says that Willkie "genuinely likes Ben Cohen and Mr. Lilienthal and is genuinely liked by them in return."

On March 18, 1940, Mr. Willkie wrote an article upholding civil liberties (interpret that word "civil liberties" how you please) in *The New Republic*, the revolutionary Socialist magazine.

Fortune magazine (whose editor resigned to boom Willkie for President), says: "Tradition has it that he (Willkie) was a Socialist . . . he still describes himself as a LaFollette Liberal."

Senator Rush Holt of West Virginia, declared that he had been informed that Willkie helped to organize the "Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies."

And Dorothy Thompson says that Mr. Willkie's "views on foreign affairs and foreign trade are almost exactly those of Secretary of State Cordell Hull, and he would probably wish to retain Mr. Hull as Secretary of State."

* * *

We are printing the above without guaranteeing that the British newspapers, the politicians, or the columnists to whom we refer, know what they are talking about.

In other words, we are simply citing the record which they are building for Mr. Willkie.

What do you know about Mr. Willkie?

Confessedly, we know very little.

Therefore, we say simply this: If the things reported about Mr. Willkie are true, and if the things known about Mr. Roosevelt are true, the American people are in for a fine lemon squeezing next November!

Perhaps, some of us will live to see the day when America will be rescued for Americans.

Too bad there isn't good fishing around the fourth of November!

Secretary Hull

OUR ESTEEMED Secretary of State has gone far afield in telling Europeans to stay out of America, as he and his superior were busied this past seven years in butting into Europe.

Now the German government has served notice on the United States that it will not consider itself debarred from intervention on the American continents if the United States or other American nations interfere in the affairs of Europe.

In plain language, we are face to face with a show-down.

The German note also quoted a portion of the *Monroe Doctrine* in which America was pledged to keep out of Europe.

With this forgotten portion of the *Monroe Doctrine* brought to his attention, Mr. Hull said no useful purpose would be served by continuing the correspondence.

May we remind our readers that years ago Father Coughlin advanced the theory recently accredited to Mr. Roosevelt when he said, "America for Americans, Europe for the Europeans, and Asia for the Asiatics."

There was a difference, however.

Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Hull gave pronouncement to this theory only when Europeans and Americans got wise to the full content of the *Monroe Doctrine*.

You can fool some of the people all of the time and all of the people some of the time but you can't fool all of the people all of the time.

The 'Corporate State'

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT, unwittingly perhaps, perpetuates a popular confusion when he calls Nazi Germany a "corporate state" and says that our democratic way of life is threatened by the "corporate state."

There is no excuse for such ignorance about the meaning of words. SOCIAL JUSTICE, over several years, has told of many kinds of "corporate states." This magazine has even shown how the United States might adopt the "corporate state," within the *Constitution* and within our democratic form of government.

Had he said "totalitarian state" he would have had to include our good friends—and future allies?—Great Britain and Soviet Russia; and, of course, his whole point would be lost.

Banks and Credit

THE *Wall Street Journal* has been edited for years by a group of gentlemen whose right eyes are blind and whose left eyes are shielded by green emeralds.

Green, possibly because they love greenbacks; or, possibly, because they do not wish to see things as they are.

Here is an excerpt from a recent editorial:

"Take the five biggest banks in New York City. Their aggregate assets are $11,699,480,000, more than one-sixth the country's total. In every $100 of these assets there is $44 in cash and $31 in United States government securities. There is but $16 in loans. The other $9 is made up of 'other investments' and miscellaneous assets."

It is worthwhile analyzing the breakdown of this per hundred dollar asset held in the five largest banks in New York City.

These Wall Street editorial gentlemen admit that a large part of the $100 is debt.

And they count debts as "assets"; and we are craving to use that debt money upon which is tagged an interest coupon.

The *Wall Street Journal* and the financial system which it supports have not many years to endure in this nation.

The end of their road is in sight.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

ZERO HOUR!



Great 'MEN AND MONEY' Contest Closes Midnight, Aug. 10

The final days of our great "MEN AND MONEY" Contest are at hand, and the sun is setting–setting–setting on your opportunity to strike for $2,500.00 in cash.

At Midnight of Saturday, August 10, this great competition will come to an end.

At that hour your chance to be listed among the 109 winners in one of the grandest educational tests ever conducted by this magazine–on the stroke of that midnight your whack at fortune in this contest will expire.

IT IS NOT YET TOO LATE FOR YOU TO ENTER–AND WIN $2,500.00!

On pages 10-11 you will find all 50 Contest questions. They are all here before you right now. No waiting. No delays.

In fact, if you are going to enter and win, you cannot delay a single day.

Yet, you have sufficient time to work out your solutions to the 50 questions.

You have ample time in which to study the questions, examine closely the suggested answers, set down what you think is the best or most appropriate answers to each, send them all in–AND WIN!

The entire editorial staff of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine act as judges of this great competition. Every answer you submit will be given careful comparison with what the judges have decided are the best or most appropriate answers. All is open and fair. Your chance to win is equal to that of anybody in the Contest.

A Grand Prize of $2,500.00; a Second Prize of $1,200.00; a Third Prize of $1,000.00; and 106 other cash awards.

During the last four years 1,201 readers have won more than $35,000.00 in cash in SOCIAL JUSTICE question-answer Contests. This could be YOUR TURN to win.

This is the Last Call. This is your final chance to enter. Now is the time for you to ACT. To delay might be a mistake.

Remember the "deadline" – Midnight of Saturday, August 10. The letter containing your answers–50 of them –and your remittance of $2.50 MUST be in the mail and post-marked at or before that hour.

This very hour go to work on the first few questions. Notice how easy it is to select what you consider the proper answer. All questions are easy–but not TOO easy. THINK! THEN SET DOWN YOUR ANSWER!

TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS IN CASH CAN BE YOURS AS EASILY AS THAT OF ANY OTHER PERSON IN THE CONTEST!

THIS COUPON COULD MEAN MUCH TO YOU

"Contest Manager," SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan

Following are my selections of answers to all 50 questions of the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest. I am enclosing $2.50, payment in full.

No. 1	For Question No. 1 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 26	For Question No. 26 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 2	For Question No. 2 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 27	For Question No. 27 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 3	For Question No. 3 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 28	For Question No. 28 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 4	For Question No. 4 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 29	For Question No. 29 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 5	For Question No. 5 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 30	For Question No. 30 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 6	For Question No. 6 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 31	For Question No. 31 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 7	For Question No. 7 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 32	For Question No. 32 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 8	For Question No. 8 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 33	For Question No. 33 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 9	For Question No. 9 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 34	For Question No. 34 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 10	For Question No. 10 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 35	For Question No. 35 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 11	For Question No. 11 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 36	For Question No. 36 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 12	For Question No. 12 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 37	For Question No. 37 I select Answer No. ☐
No. 13	For Question No. 13 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 38	For Question No. 38 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 14	For Question No. 14 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 39	For Question No. 39 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 15	For Question No. 15 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 40	For Question No. 40 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 16	For Question No. 16 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 41	For Question No. 41 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 17	For Question No. 17 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 42	For Question No. 42 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 18	For Question No. 18 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 43	For Question No. 43 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 19	For Question No. 19 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 44	For Question No. 44 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 20	For Question No. 20 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 45	For Question No. 45 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 21	For Question No. 21 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 46	For Question No. 46 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 22	For Question No. 22 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 47	For Question No. 47 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 23	For Question No. 23 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 48	For Question No. 48 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 24	For Question No. 24 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 49	For Question No. 49 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 25	For Question No. 25 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 50	For Question No. 50 I Select Answer No. ☐

MY NAME IS_____

MY ADDRESS IS_____

TOWN OR CITY_____ STATE_____

If entering now, fill in this coupon in full and return with $2.50.
If already entered, fill in from where you left off

TO THOSE ALREADY ENTERED

If you have been regularly sending in your weekly coupons, your set should be now complete up to and including Question No. 20. The last pair of Questions, No. 19 and No. 20, appeared in our last week's issue, July 15.

Unless you are paid up in full, you have remitted a total of $1.00. That leaves $1.50 to be sent in to make up the total of $2.50.

All right—

Just fill in your answers to the remaining 30 questions, starting with No. 21, on the large coupon on this page, and send it in with $1.50 in coin, check or money order—not stamps. Then your set will be complete and duly qualified for the prizes.

If you entered and fell behind with your returns, just start on the large coupon where you left off — if you remember — and remit five cents for each of the remaining questions.

If you don't remember, we suggest you start all over again. Fill in your answers to the 50 questions from top to bottom and send them in with $2.50. That's the one we'll consider in your file for the prizes.

Remember—your letter containing solutions MUST be postmarked at or before Midnight, Saturday, August 10.

MAKE THAT $2,500 YOURS

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Prize	1,200
Third Prize	1,000
Fourth Prize	400
Fifth Prize	300
Sixth Prize, 4 cash awards at $25	100
Seventh Prize. 100 cash awards at $10	1,000
TOTAL	$6,500

ADDRESS CONTEST MAIL TO

'CONTEST MANAGER' SOCIAL JUSTICE

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

109 MUST WIN $6,500



QUESTION No. 1

Name One Thing That Is Not a Natural Resource

1. Land.
2. Minerals.
3. Air.
4. A Federal Reserve $20-note.
5. Water.
6. Sunlight.

QUESTION No. 2

Who or What Owns the Federal Reserve Banks?

1. The United States Government.
2. Private corporations.
3. The people as a whole.
4. The United States Congress.
5. The United States Treasury Department.
6. The Bank of England.

QUESTION No. 3

What Is Meant by a Fictitious Loan?

1. A loan made for a non-productive purpose.
2. A loan which bears no interest.
3. A loan made for an illegal purpose.
4. A loan of money which does not exist in fact.
5. A loan of actual currency.
6. A loan of real wealth.

QUESTION No. 4

What Alone Should Be the Basis of a Nation's Money?

1. Gold.
2. Silver.
3. Government bonds or obligations.
4. International bank obligations.
5. Real wealth of the nation.
6. It needs no basis.

QUESTION No. 5

What Is the Chief Business of Small Banks?

1. Lending money to farmers.
2. Lending money to home-owners.
3. Lending money to merchants.
4. Buying the debt of the nation.
5. Lending money to manufacturers.
6. Lending money to foreign nations.

QUESTION No. 6

What Can Best Save Man Economically From the Machine?

1. Improved distribution of goods based upon honest money.
2. Reduction in the use of machinery.
3. Issuance of more government bonds to relieve the unemployed.
4. Reduction of the birth rate.
5. Curtailment of the use of manufactured goods.
6. Reduction of the standard of living.

QUESTION No. 7

What, According to Father Coughlin, Do Our Banks Pay the Government for Government Bonds?

1. Face value of the bonds in currency.
2. Twenty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
3. Thirty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
4. Forty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
5. Fifty per cent of the face value of the bonds.
6. Ten cents on the dollar—out of their excess reserves.

QUESTION No. 8

How Has the United States Already Helped to Finance the Allies' War?

1. By extending credit for war supplies.
2. By "cash and carry."
3. By buying gold from them at $35.00 per ounce.
4. By selling them gold.
5. By buying industrial stocks and bonds of England and France.
6. By buying English and French government bonds.

QUESTION No. 9

What Is the Main Economic Reason for Current Unemployment and Industrial Stagnation?

1. Lack of general need for consumer goods.
2. Overproduction of consumer goods and commodities.
3. Underproduction of consumer goods and commodities.
4. Excess of credit dollars stagnating in banks.
5. Excessive wages demanded for labor.
6. The European war.

QUESTION No. 10

What Prominent American Has Recently Stood "Head and Shoulders" Over All Others for National Defense and Against War Hysteria?

1. President Roosevelt.
2. Colonel Charles A. Lindbergh.
3. Bernard M. Baruch.
4. Secretary Hull.
5. Secretary Frances Perkins.
6. John L. Lewis.
7. William Green.
8. Mayor L Guardia.

QUESTION No. 11

What Is the Basic Principle of the Current War?

1. Fascism vs. Communism.
2. Communism vs. Christianity.
3. Fascism vs. Christianity.
4. Capitalism vs. anti-Capitalism.
5. Protestantism vs. Catholicism.
6. Totalitarianism vs. Democracy.
7. Tyranny vs. Freedom.
8. The "haves" vs. the "have-nots."

QUESTION No. 12

Approximately How Much Does It Cost Americans Annually in Taxation to Keep the Nation's Money in Circulation?

1. One hundred thousand dollars.
2. One million dollars.
3. One billion dollars.
4. Two million dollars.
5. Five billion dollars.
6. Five million dollars.
7. Seven hundred million dollars.
8. Eight hundred million dollars.

QUESTION No. 13

Threats to the Safety of Which Nation Most Seriously Agitate the Government of the United States?

1. Poland.
2. Great Britain.
3. Holland.
4. Switzerland.
5. Finland.
6. Czechoslovakia.
7. Belgium.
8. Norway.

QUESTION No. 14

What Is the Most Serious National Menace Now Facing America?

1. Communist propaganda.
2. The "fifth column."
3. Nazi propaganda.
4. Raising of the legal bonded Federal debt limit.
5. The coming presidential election.
6. Anti-Semitism.
7. British propaganda.
8. Burdensome taxation.

QUESTION No. 15

What Is Meant by Malthusianism?

1. The doctrine that might makes right.
2. The foundations of democracy.
3. The main forerunner of Marxian Socialism.
4. The theory that society can be saved economically by reducing the birth rate.
5. The theory that society can thrive only under capitalism.
6. The theory that power machinery used in production would solve all distribution problems.
7. The theory that power machinery used in production would increase unemployment.
8. The theory that all production and consumption of goods should be artificially controlled.

QUESTION No. 16

What Is the Principal Cause of the Growth of Industrial Monopolies in the United States Since 1900?

1. A high protective tariff on imports.
2. A policy of free trade between the United States and other nations.
3. Gradual inflation of the currency.
4. President Roosevelt's policy of devaluating the dollar.
5. Unrestricted competition.
6. Accumulation of reserve funds in banks.
7. Gradual deflation of the currency.
8. Serious lack of commercial credit for small businesses.

QUESTION No. 17

Before the Defeat of France, How Did the United States Navy Rank Among the Nations as to Size?

1. First.
2. Second.
3. Third.
4. Fourth.
5. Fifth.
6. Sixth.

QUESTION No. 18

Before the Defeat of France, How Did the United States Army Rank Among the Nations as to Size?

1. Fourth.
2. Sixth.
3. Eighth.
4. Tenth
5. Twelfth.
6. Fifteenth.
7. Eighteenth.
8. Twentieth.

QUESTION No. 19

What Is the Real Purpose of England's War Against Germany?

1. Salvation of independence for the small nations of Europe.
2. Preservation of "democracy" in the Western World.
3. Preservation of a gold-based international money system.
4. Protection of the United States from Nazi aggression.
5. Preservation of the British Empire in the absolute status quo.
6. Preservation of Christianity in the world.
7. To cut Germany off from South American trade.
8. To aid the cause of Communism.

QUESTION No. 20

How Would You Describe the Government of England as of July 1, 1940?

1. Marxian Socialist.
2. Monarchical.
3. Democratic.
4. Fascist.
5. Republican.
6. Representative.

QUESTION No. 21

Properly Speaking, What Is the British Empire?

1. A race of people.
2. A natural combination of several races of people.
3. The world's leading Christian nation in proportion to population.
4. A voluntary association of nations united for collective security.
5. A federation of free peoples.
6. An international economic system.

QUESTION No. 22

Under What False Pretense Does Communism Seek World Control?

1. The pretense that democracy has failed.
2. The pretense that imperialism has failed.
3. The pretense that human beings do not crave personal liberty.
4. The pretense of social reform.
5. The pretense that capitalism has failed.
6. The pretense that monarchy has failed.

QUESTION No. 23

What Is the Most Serious Obstacle To a Federation of European States?

1. The United States' Monroe Doctrine.
2. Difficulty of merging several races with varied bloods and traditions.
3. Economic jealousies and ambitions of power politicians.
4. Love of European peoples for war.
5. Religious differences of European races and nationalities.
6. Such a federation would necessarily be anti-Christian and incur the opposition of the Vatican.

QUESTION No. 24

Name One Provision of the Monroe Doctrine

1. Neither Europe nor Africa is any longer open to colonization by a foreign power.
2. Neither North America nor South America is any longer barred from colonization by a foreign power.
3. The United States will henceforth go to the aid of a European victim of aggression.
4. The United States shall always maintain a democratic form of government.
5. The United States shall not interfere in the internal concerns of European powers.
6. The islands of the South Pacific Ocean must forever remain in status quo.
7. Japanese or Chinese may not become citizens of the United States.
8. Canada must not engage in foreign war.

QUESTION No. 25

Where or in Which Speech Did President Roosevelt Accuse Italy of "Stabbing France In the Back"?

1. At Chicago.
2. In Canada.
3. At Charlottesville, Va.
4. In a fireside chat.
5. At Warm Springs, Ga.
6. In his message to Congress.
7. At the New York World's Fair.
8. Before the Washington Press Club.

QUESTION No. 26

Usury Is a Violation of Which of the Ten Commandments?

1. First.
2. Second.
3. Third.
4. Fourth.
5. Fifth.
6. Sixth.
7. Seventh.
8. Eighth.
9. Ninth.
10. Tenth.

QUESTION No. 27

Why Cannot America Compete for Long With Germany in the Building of Armament?

1. America has not sufficient raw materials.
2. The American people would not stand the taxes on the necessary bonded debt.
3. The American people do not wish to arm.
4. America has not the necessary engineering brains.
5. America is unequal to Germany in potential mass production.
6. Germany owns and controls more oil than the United States.

QUESTION No. 28

Name One Law Which, If Violated, Could Most Easily Plunge the United States Into War

1. The Neutrality Act.
2. The Johnson Act.
3. The Monroe Doctrine.
4. The Hatch Act.
5. The Wages and Hours Law.
6. The Sherman Anti-Trust Law.

QUESTION No. 29

What Was Mainly Responsible for the Sudden Collapse of France?

1. She had an inferior army.
2. She had, ship for ship, an inferior navy.
3. She lacked munition factories.
4. She was at heart pro-Nazi.
5. The people had no desire whatever to go to war.
6. She was rotting from Communistic debility.
7. She could not finance even a short war.
8. She was betrayed by Great Britain.

QUESTION No. 30

What Is the Real Cause of Hatred of Germany on the Part of Western Politicians?

1. A genuine desire to perpetuate democracy.
2. A desire to promote Communism.
3. Knowledge that totalitarianism is inefficient.
4. A desire to save Christianity from the ravages of totalitarianism.
5. Actual fear that Hitler will conquer the Western Hemisphere.
6. A desire to perpetuate private-controlled money based on gold.

DON'T FORGET THE FINAL HOUR—MIDNIGHT,

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Every Contest Brings Out New Winners —This Could Be Your Turn To Win

7. Desire to avenge mistreatment of the Jews by the Nazis.
8. Fear of competition by Germany and Italy in world trade.

QUESTION No. 31

What Was the Real Source of Our Financial Collapse of 1929 and Subsequent Depression?

1. British deflation of 1920-21.
2. Inflation of the American dollar.
3. Excessive post-war bank credit in this country.
4. The Florida real estate boom.
5. The Texas oil boom.
6. The great American building boom.
7. Shortage of investment outlets for excess savings with consequent rising inventories.
8. Hysterical public stock gambling.

QUESTION No. 32

What Was the Most Powerful Impetus Towards Reconstruction Given to Post-World-War Germany?

1. The rise of Hitler.
2. The defeat of Communism by the Nazis.
3. The Dawes plan for German reparations.
4. The friendship of President Hoover and President Roosevelt.
5. A revival of a strong and united Christianity in Germany.
6. The burning of the Reichstag.
7. A loan of billions to Germany by international bankers.
8. The Hitler-Stalin pact.

QUESTION No. 33

What Was the Main Purpose of the $2-Billion Stabilization Fund?

1. To increase American exports.
2. To keep foreign currencies steadily at low levels.
3. To aid the American farmer.
4. To aid Germany.
5. To reduce our gold imports.
6. To increase employment in the United States and raise wages of workers.

QUESTION No. 34

What Is the Principal Cause of Political Centralism In the United States?

1. Desire of the people to look to Washington for relief and redress.
2. Superior brain-power of the Federal Government over state governments.
3. Communism.
4. Fascism.
5. Inability of states to cope with technological progress.
6. Personality and magnanimity of President Roosevelt.

QUESTION No. 35

What Is Money?

1. It is a substance.
2. It is an invoice for real wealth.
3. It is a printed legal decree.
4. It is a sign that its owner owes wealth to the community.
5. It is a bill of exchange.
6. It is a badge of wealth.
7. It is a product of private banking concerns.
8. It is always by nature a precious metal.

QUESTION No. 36

How Do Modern Capitalism and Communism Resemble Each Other?

1. Both are manifestly opposed to Christianity.
2. Both are opposed to private ownership of property.
3. Both believe firmly in a totalitarian form of government.
4. Both believe in a just, living, annual wage for workers.
5. Both believe in strong, responsible, independent craft unionism.
6. Both believe in liberty of the individual.

QUESTION No. 37

Why Principally Has John L. Lewis Turned His Back On the New Deal?

1. He dislikes the National Labor Relations Board.
2. He abhors all Communists.
3. He believes the New Deal to be extravagant.
4. He is opposed to a third term for the President.
5. He believes the New Deal fosters warmongering.
6. He thinks William Green is a New Dealer.

QUESTION No. 38

Select One Correct Statement Regarding the Relationship Between Money and Wealth

1. When the dollar is devaluated prices rise.
2. When money is scarce prices rise.
3. When money is plentiful prices decline.
4. When currency is deflated prices rise.
5. When currency is inflated prices drop.
6. When there is excessive bank credit prices decline.

QUESTION No. 39

Name One Variety of Non-Productive Loan

1. A loan to James A. Farley to buy the New York Yankee baseball club.
2. A loan to buy a threshing machine.
3. A loan to a concern to manufacture bombing planes for England.
4. A loan to a man wishing to open a pawnbroker's shop.
5. A loan to a farmer to help him plow under a hailed-out crop.
6. A loan to a boy to help him through college.
7. A loan to a group to finance killing of excess wild game.
8. A loan to finance the raising of racehorses.

QUESTION No. 40

In What Position Is a Bank When Holding Funds of a Depositor?

1. It is a trustee for the money.
2. It is a creditor of the depositor.
3. It is a partner of the depositor to the extent of the money deposited.
4. It has an equity in the money deposited.
5. It is a debtor of the depositor.
6. It is a bailee of the money for the benefit of the bank only.

QUESTION No. 41

What Is the Main Purpose of the Proposed $2-Billion North-South America Trade Cartel?

1. Promotion of the "good neighbor" policy to perpetuate freedom and democracy in the New World.
2. To avert a Nazi invasion of the United States.
3. To avert a Nazi invasion of South America.
4. Establishment of gold imperialism in the New World.
5. Encouragement of trade between Germany, Italy and Japan on one side and the United States on the other side.
6. Stabilization of United States currency with the silver currencies of South America.
7. Establishment of silver imperialism in the New World.
8. Establishment of bimettalic imperialism in the New World.

QUESTION No. 42

What Is the Most Serious Assistance Needed Today by American Farmers?

1. Steadily rising prices for farm produce.
2. Greater government subsidie
3. A government-founded bank for agricultural credit only.
4. Crop curtailment.
5. Cheaper crop insurance.
6. Increase of foreign markets for farm produce.
7. Diversification of crops.
8. A moratorium on all farm mortgages.

QUESTION No. 43

According to Experts, How Much Depreciation in Government Bonds Would Jeopardize the Banks of This Country?

1. Three per cent.
2. Four per cent.
3. Five per cent.
4. Six per cent.
5. Seven per cent.
6. Ten per cent.

QUESTION No. 44

What Is Principally Responsible for the Advance of Communism In the United States?

1. The rise of Fascism.
2. Recognition by the United States of the Soviet government.
3. Pro-British propaganda.
4. Anti-German propaganda.
5. Pro-German propaganda.
6. Radical labor leadership.
7. Decline of Christianity.
8. Excessive unemployment.

QUESTION No. 45

Which Is the Greatest Power Conferred Upon Congress by the Constitution?

1. The power to tax.
2. The power to coin money.
3. The power to pass laws over executive veto.
4. The power to make treaties with foreign nations.
5. The power to regulate money and its value.
6. The power over interstate commerce.
7. The power to impeach officers of the United States.
8. The power to control and regulate the mails.

QUESTION No. 46

What Is the Main Difference Between the Guilds of the Middle Ages and Present-Day Labor Unions?

1. The Guilds developed apprentices and labor unions do not.
2. Labor unions have achieved a living, annual wage; the Guilds did not.
3. The Guilds applied religious principles to their organizations; labor unions do not.
4. Labor unions of today emphasize an 8-hour day; the Guilds did not.
5. Guilds always insisted on a division of labor by crafts; labor unions never have.
6. Labor unions regulate prices of their products; the Guilds never did.

QUESTION No. 47

Who or What Should Be the Natural, Constitutional Creditor of the Government When Borrowing Money?

1. The people as a whole.
2. The national banks as at present existent.
3. The Federal Reserve Banks as at present existent.
4. Large industrialists.
5. Foreign governments.
6. Capitalists.
7. It should have no creditors but should coin money as rapidly as it needs it.

QUESTION No. 48

What Is the Principal Reason for the Continued Success of Naziism in Germany?

1. Its espousal of Christianity as a motivating force.
2. Its theory that every German works for the benefit of the German people as a whole.
3. Its relief of unemployment.
4. Its stabilization of the mark under German control.
5. Its persecution of the Jews.
6. Its opposition to Communism.
7. Compulsory military training.
8. The Hitler Youth Movement.

QUESTION No. 49

What Is Chiefly Responsible for the Spread of Anti-Semitism in the United States?

1. Nazi propaganda.
2. A growing opposition to Great Britain.
3. The New Deal.
4. The national drive against anti-Semitism.
5. The Christian Front trial.
6. Opposition to Jewry by the Catholic Hierarchy.
7. Radio programs and anti-German propaganda.
8. Salacious literature, motion pictures and stage plays.

QUESTION No. 50

Select One True Statement Concerning "Regulation" and "Stabilization" of Money

1. To "regulate" money means to "peg" its value in terms of foreign currencies, the practice of international bankers.
2. To "stabilize" money means scientifically to adjust ratios between international exchange values.
3. To "regulate" money means to apportion its issuance to national wealth and international exchange.
4. To "stabilize" money means to apportion its issuance to national wealth and international exchange.
5. "Regulation" of money is essentially and by nature the function of international bankers.
6. "Stabilization" of money is essentially and by nature the function of the United States Government.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTESTANTS

1. Study the questions. Mark your selection of the best or most appropriate answers in the little squares on the Composite Coupon. Mark them by their numbers as lined up under the respective questions.

2. Each question pertains to the money principles of Father Coughlin as stated in his books, over the radio and in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine, or to the activities of leading men and the effects of their actions on current events as reported in SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

3. One out of the list of suggested answers under each question will be the best or most appropriate based upon the pronouncements of Father Coughlin or this National Weekly. It is your job as Contestant to try to select that particular answer.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, each contestant is required to remit $2.50 for each complete set of solutions submitted. For this remittance the Contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books for each set of duly qualified answers submitted.

5. If you run more than one set of answers, be sure to mark your sets "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each set stands alone in the judging. Coupons are NOT taken from one set to fill in bad "holes" in another set.

6. Keep in mind the last hour—Midnight, Saturday, August 10.

ATURDAY, AUGUST 10

NOTICE

An Extra Composite Coupon Will Be Found on Page 18

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 7)

gium, Poland, Czechoslovakia, France, with the subject Balkans and with Great Britain —if conquered or overrun like the others— and arrive at an 'agreement' about all territorial possessions in a part of the Eastern Hemisphere. Japan could 'consult' with puppet governments in China, other satrapies in Asia and some revolutionary party government in India and swallow what was left by common or 'majority consent.' And the President of the United States appeared to be saying that, if the trick was pulled this way, it would be all right with us and we should recognize the robbery.

"Because of the loose method of the interview, and the unfamiliarity of the speaker with the subject matter, the President seemed possibly to be saying several other things also. But nations poised for seizure of territories naturally made their favorite construction. And the State Department mourned that Mr. Hull's clear statement of the other day on the same subject should have been clouded, and in such an irresponsible manner, in what was probably only a grab for page one.

"The newspaper correspondents, who had taken notes, did the best they could with the strange material. Their output appeared Sunday, and that morning Mr. Early sought them out again. He said the President, after reading the articles, wished they had emphasized 'the vast difference between the "Monroe Doctrines" claimed by some countries, and the true *Monroe Doctrine* of this hemisphere, which is based on self-defense' and co-operation of all nations concerned. He said he regretted he had forgotten to 'emphasize' this point he had not, in fact, mentioned it, and that the President had intended to convey merely the same thing Mr. Hull had previously told Germany.

"Mr. Early can be excused for not remembering everything, or understanding everything, the President told him about this momentous business. The fault was not his. It lies in the method chosen to circulate Mr. Roosevelt's views in matters of such magnitude, and in the choice of any spokesman, unless he was — as in fact he had already been — Mr. Hull."

' ' '

The London 'Catholic Herald'

IN THE JUNE 14, 1940 edition, the London *Catholic Herald*, to our mind, committed an egregious error.

In its leading front page article there appeared the headline: *"Mussolini Has Betrayed the Church, Europe and His Own People."*

The editor who wrote the article tried to stay within the bounds of reason.

More or less, he succeeded in doing so until he arrived at the last two paragraphs.

Therein he said:

"The Fascists are Christians and Latins of a highly civilised race from which decency and chivalry are expected. And they have preferred the ignoble and safe role of the vulture to that of the eagle.

"We despise them."

In days to come the editor of the *Catholic Herald* will regret that he ever wrote that last sentence.

Why condemn a whole people?

Why despise a whole people?

Many priests and some Bishops in Italy are Fascists.

Millions of good Catholics in Italy are Fascists.

The untempered language of this London editor, who appears to be more British than he is Christian, rests heavily upon the minds of those who chance to listen to him.

In the days of Henry VIII a nationalistic spirit overwhelmed England at the cost of Catholicity.

Is history repeating itself?

Let the editor remember that Great Britain declared war against Germany in the first instance.

Let the editor remember that it was Britain who evolved and fabricated the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.*

Let the editor remember that it was British financialists, according to Hilaire Belloc and other Englishmen, who financed Hitler.

Let the editor remember that it was Britain who taught Hitler how to subjugate the Christian Church and how to subjugate unarmed and defenseless peoples.

' ' '

Is This So?

IN A LETTER mailed by Mr. Lawrence C. Downey of Philadelphia to Representative George P. Darrow of Washington, we read the following:

"Saturday last, I took my wife and children to one of the neighborhood theaters and on the screen was an appeal for the audience to sign petitions to urge the President and Congress to give every assistance possible, short of war, to England.

"I contend that the purpose of our theaters is to provide clean and enlightening entertainment. They do not advertise or represent themselves as being the disseminators of propaganda.

"If the war-mongering motion picture industry wishes to foster war, then, let them do it in a free, open and competitive manner, hiring halls and theaters for that purpose. They should not be permitted to force their murderous opinions on the American public who innocently go to the theaters to see the show as advertised.

"These internationalists, in my opinion, are every bit as bad, if not worse than the openly professed Nazi, Communist, or Fascist. We know a thief will steal, but the deceptive liar is, and always has been, an enigma."

' ' '

The 'Dublin Standard'

COPIED FROM the *Dublin Standard* is the following interview which is of interest to all American readers.

The interview is entitled: *"Money System Made War 'Inevitable' "—"An English View."*

It reads as follows:

" 'In the days of so-called peace, we did our best to warn all concerned that if the inherent flaw resident in the existing financial system was not remedied, war was inevitable,' writes G. Hickling in the weekly news-letter *Reality*, published at Coventry.

" 'The warning was unheeded, and war is here now, but these circumstances do not impair one whit the vision our knowledge gives us.

" 'Just as we could foresee plainly that war would come if the change required was not made in peace, so today we can see behind the war cloud and find there good reason for hope, courage and confidence.

" 'Gradually, as the war develops, the restricting, withholding, strangling effect of "sound finance" becomes evident.

" 'The danger of immediate disaster sharpens the receptivity of the truth, which was mocked at in peacetime.

" 'Desperate necessity is now going to teach the powers that be a lesson which could have been understood and applied in quite a different atmosphere — had they been greater men.

" 'The idolatrous belief that bank-credit, which is used as money, and which consists of mere figures written in ledgers or printed on paper, is more important than men and goods, has not only brought the nation into a war unsought by the people, but has brought us dangerously to the brink of complete defeat.

" 'Dimly, and in a piecemeal sort of way, the authorities are finding in each of their Departments that "sound" finance is frustrating the nation's war effort.

" 'It is useless, at this date, to indicate the parsimonious ways and means by which our national resources—specially in industry and agriculture—have been weakened in the past by the policy of the Bank of England; what matters is it should not be allowed to paralyse our real resources any longer'."

' ' '

Opportunity

A RECENTLY-BEREAVED widow came to our home for advice. What does the future hold for her boy, now of military age?

What does the future hold for her two younger sons?

"Madam," said we, "are your children prepared to work with their hands and plan with their brains and love with their hearts?"

Perhaps we reversed the order somewhat, realizing that we should, know, first; love, second; and work, third.

"Can you work along with your children?" we asked. "For example, you are a high school graduate. You studied plane geometry. Are you capable of interesting your children in squares, triangles, rectangles, etc.? Can you teach them to draw with geometrical instruments?"

"Why should I do that?" she queried.

"Because it would be entertainment, pleasurable work and profitable work. It would keep your children at home. You could travel with them the highway of the designer, the draftsman."

We explained to the anxious mother that this is a mechanical age in which we are living. In fact, mechanics have progressed more rapidly than have the brains and the soul of man.

Draftsmen are needed to fashion tools, to plan motor cars, to blueprint modern structures. Draftsmen are needed at this juncture more than ever before.

It was 30 years almost, since we looked inside a plane geometry from this practical viewpoint.

After advising the lady along these lines we thought of the wonderful opportunity afforded so many graduates with an inclination to study this practical science.

Work with your hands, plan with your brain and love with your heart.

Love God first, last and always. All things else will follow.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

Floyd Gibbons —Come to Life

FLOYD GIBBONS has been dead almost one year.

Floyd Gibbons returned to life last week.

No trumpet sounded his resurrection. No concourse of people celebrated his return.

But there he stood — red-faced, heavy jowled with the famous, old mat covering his left eye.

And Floyd Gibbons will live as long as literature lives because he wrote the one-time best seller entitled, *"The Red Napoleon."*

That book forecast many recent events in Europe.

It was written simply as a thriller.

Possibly when the ancient Hebrew people read chapters of *"Isaias,"* they thought they were reading a thriller.

Indeed, it was a thriller which was fulfilled in every item.

Now, friend Floyd was no Isaias. He was a compound of poet, journalist, detective, adventurer and world citizen, oftentimes rolled up under the skin of an Irishman.

Of course, you remember Floyd's staccato entertainment which pounded over the radio.

He was factual, and yet he was Homeric in the manner in which his factualities were expressed.

'Kansas City Star' Reveals Gibbons' Prophecy

In its issue of July 6th, the *Kansas City Star* prints the following article written by Paul I. Wellman—a good piece of work in a good newspaper:

"The late Floyd Gibbons, war correspondent and radio commentator, who wore a patch over his blind left eye, memento of a machine gun bullet in the World War, saw clearly with his good right eye a crisis in the world's affairs which would shape up to something like that of the present.

"More than a decade ago he published a book which foretold the attempted conquest of the globe by a dictator. The book, *'The Red Napoleon,'* is fiction, and light fiction, at that, but Gibbons, with his twenty years of almost continuous war reporting, had such a grasp on world affairs, and predicted in it so many things which have already happened that a reader might well find himself wondering if the rest of the story, as outlined, might occur as well.

"In one respect, Gibbons' book diverges from the present situation: His world-conquering war lord is not a German Hitler, but a Russian named Karakhan. And his racial theory was not the German super-race idea, but the theory that all other races should be amalgamated to destroy the supremacy of the white race.

Conqueror of Fictional Account Is Russian Tartar

"In other respects, however, the analogies are sometimes uncanny. Karakhan, like Hitler, obtained his race prejudices and his ambitions from a frustrated childhood. His opportunity came, according to the Gibbons book, when Josef Stalin was assassinated by a half-witted Jewish boy. There followed wholesale Jewish massacres and confiscations in Russia, exactly like those in Germany which were the prelude to the present conflict.

"Karakhan, who was a Tartar and had ambitions to be another Genghis Khan, took over power when Stalin died . . .

"As in the present war, the Gibbons world conflict began with the invasion of Poland, on a pretext as flimsy as that which the Germans recently employed. And it was accompanied by a tacit agreement of non-aggression between Germany and Russia. Considering that the guess was made more than ten years before it happened, this was a remarkably shrewd bit of speculation.

"Poland is wiped out, then Austria and Czechoslovakia were overrun and 'pacified,' the last two without any real war. Like the Nazis, Karakhan's Red armies executed ruthlessly the leaders of all political opposition, not hesitating to shoot against the wall men of international reputation.

"Then Karakhan began to look for wider fields . . . Japan entered the authoritarian alliance, but Italy at last rebelled, and Mussolini went to war and was crushed. The Germans and Russians then marched on France, stopping to lap up Scandinavia.

"Britain sent an expeditionary force to France. The armies of Karakhan broke

FLOYD GIBBONS
—From a sketch in the Kansas City Star

through the Franco-British-Belgian forces, marching through Holland, Belgium and Luxembourg, and crushed them. And here occurs another amazing guess by the author. The British called Winston Churchill to the prime ministry, in the book, as they have done in real life.

France Surrendered, Britain Held Out

"But Paris fell and France surrendered. Britain made the attempt to hold out. And that is the point where the present real story has followed to a striking degree the imaginary war in Gibbons' book.

"The remainder of *'The Red Napoleon,'* it is to be hoped, will not be so realistically carried out by events as was the first part. But since the entire book is in the nature of a prophecy, it may be interesting to see how it proceeded:

"In the first place, England, left alone, did not long resist. A peace element on the island, tired of the horrors of air destruction, unseated Churchill as prime minister, and surrendered to Karakhan. The Scots guards in Buckingham palace died to the last man to give the British royal family time to escape and flee to Canada.

"The British dominions repudiated the surrender and voted to continue the fight, but the conqueror had obtained the fleets of Britain and France, which, added to those of Italy and Japan, gave him command of the sea. He at once invaded Australia and conquered the dominion with a terrible massacre.

World's War Lord Then Turned on America

"Next the conqueror demanded that the United States join with him. America refused. The press of the amalgamated countries attacked this nation bitterly and America found itself, back to the wall, trying feverishly to prepare to fight the world.

"The first overt act was an attempt to blow up the Panama Canal, which failed when American guns destroyed the freighter Sandino which, loaded with explosive, was to be detonated in the narrow channel. That was a warning.

"America secretly abandoned Honolulu and took the entire fleet through Panama into the Caribbean sea.

"At this point Karakhan brusquely abrogated the *Monroe Doctrine*—as Hitler recently has done, and asserted possession of all the possessions of the conquered nations. The United States found itself with 130-million persons pitted against 1,500-million in a desperate struggle for life.

"Karakhan's first move was to cross the Pacific, now without a fleet, and seize Central America. The American regular army marched down through Mexico and fought bitterly, but was gradually driven north until the peninsula of Yucatan, all of South America and Central America were in the conqueror's hands.

Western Continent Calls Up Its Manpower

"The huge enemy fleet set up bases in Bermuda and Trinidad, bottling up the far smaller American fleet. Then Karakhan sent expeditionary forces first against Western Canada and the American Northwest, and then to the Gulf of St. Lawrence and toward Montreal, Quebec, New England and New York.

"Americans and Canadians fought side by side. The juggernaut of world conquest rolled on until it was stopped in the Rocky Mountains to the West and along the Erie Canal in New York. Karakhan reduced Boston, Philadelphia, New York, Baltimore, Washington, to rubble by air bombardments, and the nation's government was moved to St. Louis for greater safety.

"Every available fighting man in the nation was by now in the army—a total of some 8-million—and everywhere the American genius for industry was making itself felt in the building of war materials. The giant resources of the nation were being utilized. Even so, however, it looked impossible to stem the tide . . .

American Sea Power Decides the Issue

"Karakhan then turned his attention to the American fleet. He sent a major part of his great fleet into the Caribbean after it. And there the war was decided. The Americans had rushed air forces to Jamaica, which they held, Cuba, the coast of Mexico, and the Windward Islands. As the American fleet was chased in a giant circle by the enemy fleet, these planes roared out in successive waves. The hostile ships were caught off base, and between the American battleships and the American battle planes the greatest sea struggle of all times ended in a decisive American victory.

"And once again control of the sea changed the history of the world. The vic-

(Continued on Page 14)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 13)

torious American navy pursued and destroyed other units of Karakhan's force. It then cut the communications of his giant expeditionary force of 8-million men which could not be supplied from Asia and Europe with Karakhan's shipping chased from the sea. The conqueror made one final desperate effort to break through, but was checkmated by a rebuilt, reorganized American air force. Eventually his armies, starved and without supplies, were cut to pieces. Karakhan himself attempted to escape on a submarine, but was captured, and exiled to die like Napoleon on an island—in this case the Bermudas."

It's All Fiction, But Written a Decade Ago!

Gibbons' forecast of the war of the world made no claim to consideration as prophecy. It was written simply as light fiction, but the fact that it was produced by an expert on international affairs and military movements made it something more than that.

Floyd Gibbons died September 4, 1939, ten years after his book was received as an extravagant fantasy to entertain readers who like chills and melodrama. But on that day the invasion of Poland already was well under way, the non-aggression pact of Russia and Germany had been announced and the world was just beginning to realize that it was entering a conflict that was like nothing it had ever known before.

Although the hypothetical conquest was ingeniously contrived by the author, the ideas, forces, and methods employed in the imaginary movement had a realistic basis in conditions actually existing in Europe ten years ago. When he projected the struggle into the western hemisphere, he went farther and farther into the realm of pure speculation. But events in 1940 have made Gibbons' version of the climactic fighting in America seem less fantastic than it did a decade ago.

* * *

Our War

PERHAPS Floyd Gibbons was prophetic.

On July 6th—the same day on which the above article was printed—anxiety prevailed in the State Department as the Caribbean Sea became a possible theater of war.

Look at your geography. The island of Martinique lies between the British Islands of Dominica and Santa Lucia. It is a French island. It is 250 miles north of Venezuela and 1,200 miles from the Panama Canal.

Yes; there is the *Monroe Doctrine;* and there is Mr. Roosevelt's latest pronouncements relative to his decision that he will not allow any European nation to seize any property of any other European nation in this hemisphere.

And the British are prepared to seize Martinique.

Five American destroyers are reported to have been dispatched from the Virgin Islands (possessions of the United States) to Martinique. It is only a day's run from the Virgin Islands to the French island.

It is also reported that British warships are standing outside Martinique.

And in the harbor of Fort de France, the capital of Martinique, lies a French aircraft carrier loaded with 100 airplanes made in the United States.

Put all these facts together and we have the ingredients for an international tragedy.

Furthermore, in the same harbor is the French cruiser, *Jeanne d'Arc*, loaded with French gold in transit from France to the United States.

Probably this last fact is of more importance than the others. Of more importance to the international bankers and their battalions, the Britishers.

Meanwhile, our State Department is in a quandary. It has not formally recognized the Petain government in France.

It has recognized and practically applauded every move made by the British government since the latter declared war against Germany.

The State Department is in a dilemma. And so are the American people.

Will Floyd Gibbons' fictional prophecy relative to a great naval engagement being fought in the Caribbean be fulfilled? In our opinion, the answer is "no"—not for five to eight years.

If the Roosevelt administration is returned to power—and this means the British administration, the international administration, and the war administration—America will pick a fight with European powers by 1945.

The theater of war will not be Europe. It may be the Caribbean. It may the La-Platte estuary as was indicated in a previous edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE.

And the advertised pretext for the war will be European aggression — economic aggression—against the Republics of South America which are more European than they are Yankee or British.

All of this tends to suggest that we must do something about the *Monroe Doctrine.*

Either recognize it totally, remembering that it bids America to keep out of Europe and Europe to keep out of America.

If that theory holds good for America, let it hold good for Europeans and Asiatics.

Thus, let Europe be for Europeans; let Asia be for the Asiatics.

And let the United States of America establish real, amicable, co-operative relations with South America, not endeavoring to force our political views upon them.

We will be satisfied if they will buy our goods at a fair price.

We should be satisfied to let them paint their own house what color they choose.

* * *

Total Christianity

THE PENDULUM of civilization is swinging towards totalitarianism.

Even in so-called democracies like England and France, the doctrine of the totalitarian state has been accepted as an emergency measure.

On the other hand, this total doctrine, in a spiritual sense, fits in with the Christian concept of life.

We have been instructed to love God with our whole heart, mind and soul and our neighbors as ourselves.

We were warned that whatsoever we do to the least of Christ's little ones, we do unto Him.

We were taught that we are members of the Mystical Body of Christ, of which He is the Head and the Holy Ghost is the Soul.

All for Christ and Christ for all is our ideal.

What have we done with these doctrines? We have not denied them, but we have neglected them.

We were Sunday Christians and Monday worldlings

We accepted the sacramental doctrines of Christ for the individual, and neglected the social doctrines of Christ for the group.

Total Christianity demands that we accept the doctrines of Christ for the group as well as for the individual.

Total Christianity demands that we operate as a unit in the Mystical Body of Christ for the welfare of Christ.

In turn, the Mystical Body of Christ will control us with direction, supply us with blood and sustenance, and guard us with all Its Resources from external as well as internal attack.

The total State, such as conceived by Marx who abhorred religion, and as perfected by Hitler, is operated along such lines but from a purely material viewpoint.

The State, according to Marxian philosophy, is the Mystical Body of anti-Christ.

All individuals, or citizens within the State, live for the State.

From the State they receive their direction, their sustenance and their protection.

In our days we are witnessing a contest between the ideas of a totalitarian State and a totalitarian Christ.

By nature man is more or less a totalitarian in so far as he is a social animal inclined to live with his fellow beings in an orderly fashion.

There is no such thing as a physical Robinson Crusoe, a civil Robinson Crusoe or a spiritual Robinson Crusoe.

Men are inclined to live together. The tailor, the carpenter, the stone mason, the electrician, the surgeon, the pharmacist, the farmer, the miner, the fisherman—each man needs the services of all other men; and all men need the services of each man in his peculiar avocation.

Together, we stand; divided, we fall.

All men are not equal any more than all parts of the body are equal. Nevertheless, a sound body requires all its parts and no one organ of the body may, through a process of greed, deprive another part of the body of direction, of protection and sustenance.

It would be suicide for the lungs to conspire against the heart.

It would be disastrous for the hand to pluck out the eye.

By the same token, individuals in the totalitarian state must co-operate with each other, avoiding both individualism and class opposition.

By the same token, the nations of the earth must co-operate with each other for the common benefit of all and for the particular benefit of none in the sense that some nations may be exploited by others.

In fact, the healthier nations should contribute without profit to the weaker nations.

When a man is suffering an organic ailment, all parts of the body tend to serve the sickly part.

The challenge to Christianity today cannot be over-estimated. Too long it has neglected to emphasize the doctrine of the Mystical Body and to oppose the greed and blood-sucking of the anti-Christians who exploited mankind through devious ways, particularly through their control over money, credits and profits.

The supernatural total man living in a supra-national and supernatural Mystical Body of Christ—statehood, can survive because Christ is the Head and the Holy Ghost is the Soul.

The material social man, be he either national or international, is still natural—not supernatural—and cannot survive because Hitler is the head and Karl Marx is the soul.

Christ is eternal, and Hitler is temporal.

The Holy Ghost is infinite in wisdom, while Karl Marx is infinite in deceit.

Democracy's day has waned. Individualism has petered out.

We are entering upon the era of the total man. Will it be the total man according to Christ's concept or the total man according to Antichrist's concept?

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Believe It or Not

Lord Lothian Once Said:

"I venture to think that if we withdrew from Europe, it is the best contribution we can possibly make to the peace of Europe today!"

LORD LOTHIAN, Great Britain's ambassador to the United States, has pulled every available string in Washington, D. C., for American intervention in a war described by British propagandists as a fight "for the preservation of democracy and civilization —and even Christianity!"

Yet there was a time, not long ago, when the same Lord Lothian attributed the rise of Hitler, the aggression inaugurated by him, and the threatened war—now a grim reality—*to the injustices imposed upon Germany by the Allies after the World War.*

Before the present conflict began, Lothian warned that if war should result from the friction between Germany and the Allies, France and England would be largely responsible for it. Rather than "defending liberty and Christianity," Great Britain, in that event, would be "fighting to maintain the anarchy of Europe," Lothian once said.

At various times between 1934 and 1939, in speeches and in writing, Lord Lothian, the present British Ambassador, has:

(1) Apologized for the rise of Hitlerism.

(2) Attributed Hitlerism to injustices of the *Treaty of Versailles*; the encirclement policy of Great Britain, France and the United States; and the natural right of Germany to unite all Germans and exercise hegemony over Central Europe.

(3) Justified Germany's claims on Danzig, Austria, the Polish Corridor and Sudetenland.

(4) Blamed the League of Nations for failure to give Germany justice and equality.

(5) Condemned the Allies for pinning sole responsibility of the World War on Germany.

(6) Predicted that democracy would not withstand another great war.

(7) Declared that the "next war"—now in progress—would be caused not by Germany alone, but by "mismanagement" of the world by the Allies between 1918 and 1937.

The above statements, amazing in the face of Ambassador Lothian's present efforts to "sell" Britain's war to the United States, were brought to the attention of Congress by Senator Ernest Lundeen of Minnesota.

Senator Lundeen inserted into the *Congressional Record* nearly three pages of excerpts from speeches and writings of Lord Lothian—all of which run counter to the current cry that Christian civilization is at stake in the British cause. Here SOCIAL JUSTICE reprints some of these documented Lothian quotations, submitted by the Minnesota Senator. Isn't it a bit odd that they have had so little attention in the American press?

World Mismanaged Between 1918 and 1937

From "*Issues in British Foreign Policy*," an address given at Chatham House on March 24, 1938. (Published in *International Affairs*, vol. XVII, London, 1938, pp. 360 et seq.):

". . . If another war comes and the history of it is ever written, the dispassionate historian a hundred years hence will say not that Germany alone was responsible for it, even if she strikes the first blow, but that those who mismanaged the world between 1918 and 1937 had a large share of the responsibility for it.

"I have felt for the last three years that the most imperative thing was to go and have a real talk with the Germans as to what the basis of a lasting peace should be. Perhaps it was because I felt that they had legitimate grievances which had to be removed before there could be peace. But I felt also that the time to negotiate with Germany was when Hitler was offering to be content with an army of two hundred or three hundred thousand men or an air force half that of France or one-third of the neighboring air forces, whichever was the smaller. That was the time to negotiate. But what stood in the way? That Great Britain was committed to the support of the reign of law and the sanctity of the Paris system of treaties through the League of Nations.

"And now Hitler, by methods which we cannot help deploring because of the shock they give elsewhere, has at last realized the dream of the German people—the dream they have dreamed for 300 years—to be a united people, as Italy is a united people, and France is a united people, and England is a united people, and every other race in Europe is a united people except the Germans."

League Failed Because It Was Unfairly Partisan

From a letter in the *London Times*, September 17, 1937:

"The League has failed to bring peace to Europe, not because it was not strong enough, but because it utterly failed to give justice and equality to republican Germany or to compel M. Barthou to accept Herr Hitler's offers to limit Germany's armaments at a point below that of her neighbors."

Versailles Settlement Based on War Guilt

From "*Germany and the Peace of Europe*," address given at Chatham House on June 29, 1937 (printed in *International Affairs*, vol. XVI, London, 1937, pp. 870):

". . . Admittedly, I think, the present regime in Germany has been created by the policy of her neighbors, and mainly by the policy of keeping Germany down and encircled, which has been relentlessly pursued by France since 1920. But when I say French policy, I do not mean to hold France alone responsible for that policy, because I think the United States and Great Britain are equally responsible.

"Now, the Versailles settlement was based on the theory of the sole responsibility of Germany for the Great War. I do not think anybody who has made a serious study of pre-war history or even the events which immediately preceded the war, can hold that view today.

"On the strength of it, Germany was deprived of one-seventh of her European territory; she was deprived of her colonies; she was unilaterally disarmed; and she was compelled to demilitarize the Rhineland, which meant, in effect, that at any time a French army could march into the vital Rhineland region and reduce Germany to paralysis. In addition, there was placed upon her a burden of reparations for which I do not suppose today there is a single advocate; impossible reparations leading in their turn to impossible claims for war debts.

"In 1922, there took place the invasion of the Ruhr, declared by the British Government at the time to be contrary to the *Treaty of Versailles* and an illegal act . . . Yet the invasion of the Ruhr resulted in the increase in inflation which had been begun by the excessive demand for reparations, and which finally wiped out the whole middle class in Germany and produced the national socialist party.

"In 1933, Germany was promised equality in a regime of security. But after many negotiations to this end, Sir John Simon, after consultation with his French friends, who were terrified by the advent of Hitler to power, announced at Geneva, in October, 1933, that, in effect, no practical steps in the direction of conceding equality to Germany could be taken for four more years, and M. Paul Boncour added in his speech that, even at the end of 4 years, it would be necessary to take the political situation into account. That statement was taken by Germany as a default on our promises, and as conclusive proof that the League was completely dominated by the victors of Versailles. So she left the League; and I have no doubt that if we had been treated in the same way we should have taken the same action.

"The episode led, nonetheless, to tragic results. On the one hand, it led to the unlimited rearmament by Germany and, on the other, to the conviction that what counts in international affairs is not the force of your case, but the strength of your armaments. If any nation has had that conviction borne in on her it has been Germany, by everything that has happened to her from 1918 to 1933. She received nothing substantial in response to reason. She only began to recover her position in the world when she had begun to acquire the strength which enabled her to take her natural rights by unilateral action in defiance of the treaties.

"I think it must be admitted that national socialism has done a great deal for Germany. It has undoubtedly cleaned up Germany in the ordinary moral sense of the word. The defeatism, the corruption, so manifest a characteristic in the days after the war, has disappeared, at any rate, from the public view. It has given discipline and order and a sense of purpose to the great majority of young people who in earlier days did not know where to go or what they were living for.

"Now, how is one to deal with a Germany which, in my view, has claims against the rest of the world on grounds of justice?

"Let me deal first with Eastern Europe. Speaking very broadly, the German thesis of today is that she is entitled to self-determination in Central Europe. The war was fought for self-determination, and at the end of the war not only were certain groups of Germans, like the Austrians, forbidden to unite with Germany, but considerable numbers of Germans just outside the German frontiers were united with other countries. The Polish Corridor, though then largely peopled by Germans, was created, and severed Germany's connection with East Prussia. Cities like Danzig, which had always been German since the beginning of history, were cut away from Germany. Now, if the principle of self-determination were applied on behalf of Germany in the way it was applied against her, it would mean the re-entry of Austria into Germany, the union of the Sudetendeutsch, Danzig, and possibly Memel with Germany, and certain adjustments with Poland in Silesia and the corridor."

(Continued on Page 17)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Letters

(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Defense Our Need

TO THE EDITOR:

The question uppermost in the minds of thinking people is: Are we building up the defense of the United States, or is this a stampede to rescue England?

There is no love in the United States, or any sincere sympathy, for Hitler, but there is also no love for the "debt welchers" who are ever ready to cry "Shylock" when we ask for payment on account.

We, the people, are unwilling to place our all at the disposal of the "debt-welching Allies," who have made a disgraceful reputation in Poland, Finland, Norway and the Low Countries by their "strategic retreats" while the brunt of the battle was left to the soldiers of the countries attacked. Shall we lay ourselves open to the same sort of disillusionment?

To those who entertain the folly that the United States is in danger from attack from Europe it might be well to point out that military "experts" claim that Germany already is operating too far from her supply bases which may be her ultimate downfall. How then could it be possible for Germany to operate on this continent with three thousand miles of ocean between her supply base and the point of operation?

I maintain that the greatest outside danger to the United States is on our southern border where there is an army of hundreds of thousands of armed men who are waiting for us to send our men and military supplies out of the country that they can begin their invasion. These men are Red refugees from the Spanish civil war, who have earned the detestable reputation as "the mad butchers of Spain." This is the danger we should prepare for. I am strongly in favor of adequate defense, but opposed to again becoming dupes of foreign empires as we were in 1917 —and as Poland, Finland and Norway were in this day.

Col. Lindbergh's talk deserves commendation of every straight thinking American. Col. Lindbergh's warnings of last Fall have been vindicated by the occurrences of the past month. Those skeptics and loose-thinkers who ridiculed him then, should now offer their apologies to a great American.

—F. Marin

Cincinnati, Ohio

Interest Revived

TO THE EDITOR:

The present set-up of your paper is a 100 per cent improvement over the former style.

Frankly, my interest lagged for a while but now I eagerly await each copy and read every word.

—K.L.

Riverdale, N. Y.

Outlaw Communism

TO THE EDITOR:

It is evident, that under the New Deal, the Communists in these United States have become "untouchables."

Like Caesar's wife, they are above reproach.

The New Deal's mouthpiece rants and raves about Fifth Columns, yet it is common knowledge the Administration in Washington is honeycombed with Communists and their fellow travelers, and a great majority of them hold high positions in the various Federal bureaus.

The time has come to outlaw the Communist Party.

The way to outlaw the Communist Party is to oust the New Deal in November.

—J.D.O.

New York City

Wake Up, America

TO THE EDITOR:

Wake up Americans before it is too late!

Of course, you will fight to defend your country and your liberty, but what are you doing to see that we have the weapons and forces?

Write your representatives in Congress:

1. To stay on the job in these dangerous times.
2. To see that an adequate program is worked out the democratic way, not in a haphazard or hysterical way.
3. To see that it is carried out with speed.
4. To read how Leon Blum's Socialistic government delayed armaments with short hours, defeating France.
5. To preserve our liberties and shores at all costs.
6. To keep politics out of preparedness.

We should speak softly and carry a big stick. It is better to have armaments and not need them than to need them and not have them. Ask any Frenchman today.

Don't forget, Hitler has a world plan.

—A. F. Reibel

Irvington, N. J.

Against Dictatorship

TO THE EDITOR:

Never has SOCIAL JUSTICE been as good as now.

It is time to call a spade by its right name.

We say, not one usual three cheers, but double three cheers for your fight to keep "Hands Off Our American Womanhood." Thanks a million; we knew you would come out fighting on that one.

American women will not be found wanting whenever any emergency arises. We will work, sacrifice and fight to the last to save Christianity and the American ideals and to make this world a better place in which to live.

But we absolutely refuse to be regimented into concentration camps. This smacks too much of dictatorship for American women.

We have never yet failed America and there is no reason to believe we will at any future time.

—Miss C. M. Stocks

San Mateo, Calif.

America on Guard

TO THE EDITOR:

British propaganda hopes to involve America in the war in Europe. And this it will succeed in doing unless we, the American people, do and can read between the lines about "saving European democracy from totalitarian dictatorship."

The British general headquarters does not feel quite secure in England so it intends to move to Canada and carry on the war from there.

The United States of America is the only place where any real democracy does exist, and all that is needed is to remove a few obstacles so that it can function for the benefit of the 131-million Americans. Mother England hopes to prevent Americans becoming American, hence her determination to carry Britain's war to the shores of Canada and make American citizens subject to Britain's command.

We want no Fifth Column British allyism nor Fascism, Naziism or Communism.

What we must have and will have is true Americanism for America.

—J.A.

Brooklyn, N. Y.

Defense Bonds

TO THE EDITOR:

The processes of 1917-18 are being duplicated in the defense program of 1940-41. Patriotism is again to be penalized. Too many of us have bitter memories of "Liberty Bonds" bought with a fervor of patriotism which a few years later were sacrificed to the Shylocks of Wall Street, while the soldiers returning from France were unable to find work, becoming mendicants on the streets of their own country after offering their lives for its protection.

In the light of these memories it is significant to note that the President, in his message to Congress, July 1, recommending a tax on excess profits, had this to say: "It is our duty to see that the burden (of taxation) is equitably distributed according to ability to pay so that a few do not gain from the sacrifices of the many."

The general belief is that all this tax money will be used for defense and preparedness. This is not so. We must consider that this money will again be obtained through the bond process with their little coupons attached for the speculator as a premium for his "patriotism." According to custom, a million dollars in bonds carries with it coupons for an additional million dollars in interest. Therefore, the much heralded $7-billion defense program will carry coupons for an additional $7-billion as interest for the bond speculator.

Our patriotism will be penalized to the slacker bond speculator.

Because our Congress has not yet learned that part of the *Constitution of the United States* pertaining to the issuing and regulation of money, I would recommend that all defense and war bonds shall hereafter bear no interest and that these bonds be forbidden listings on Exchange Boards for speculation.

—Fred A. Geier

Cincinnati, Ohio

Planes for U.S.

TO THE EDITOR:

Three cheers for Henry Ford and then some!

Once more he came through for the U.S.A. by refusing to manufacture planes for Great Britain in this country. Once more he burst the 50,000 a year plane bubble, for, by refusing the combined order given him it was "explained"(?) to us by Government officials, via the press, that Britain's 6,000 and America's(?) 3,000 plane orders were combined because it would make a larger order for a manufacturer to receive at one time, as it was quite a trick or something to ask for the manufacturing of just a mere 3,000 planes.

Isn't it necessary after all for America to have those 50,000 planes a year in a hurry? Why couldn't the entire order of 9,000 plane motors be for our benefit alone? Why mix two-thirds British with it? What's all in the back of this seemingly full-steam-ahead-defense-program that a straight United States order of a mere 9,000 planes cannot be given at one time for the benefit of our own country's safety? Who's boss? Britain?

The whole mess seems fishy to me and it must have to Henry Ford too, hence his refusal to comply.

Let's ask our Senators, not what they *think* about this, but what they *know* about it?

—Lillian Chipaux

Maplewood, N. J.

People Need Radio Voice

TO THE EDITOR:

I am writing you under great stress of mind. I am imbued with the fear that our Administration is going straight forward to place us in war with Germany and her Allies. It is so obvious that it is dangerous.

The people need Father Coughlin's voice and every effort, and I hope that the Church — Catholic as well as the Protestant — will support him. We need him at this frightful hour when an influential element of our society in certain groups, radio announcers, commentators and banking interests are concerned.

Our nation has not a show, and yet Washington is going right ahead doing the very things that destroy neutrality, and, no doubt, will follow by a declaration of war.

I read your SOCIAL JUSTICE all the time; it is a source of great information and serves a wonderful purpose.

—Dr. W. Randolph Angell

Boston, Mass.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Lothian Said:

(Continued from Page 15)

Counselled House of Lords to Keep Out of Europe

Speech in the House of Lords, March 2, 1937 (*Parliamentary Debates*, House of Lords, vol. 104, London, 1937, pp. 392 et seq.):

". . . This new alliance system, now ennobled by the phrase, collective security, began with the military alliances between France and the Little Entente and Poland . . . Inevitably, as has always happened in the past, and as under any system in which alliances are involved between sovereign States always will happen in the future, that system has begun to produce an alliance system on the other side. It produced what is called the Rome-Berlin axis, it produced the anti-Comintern agreement between Germany and Japan.

". . . I do not say that Germany is easy to deal with—I do not think she is—but that we should drift into a policy which, in effect, says that the only way of dealing with Germany is to surround her in such a way, both economically and politically, that an internal explosion is inevitable, is to condemn ourselves and the world to world war. ***It is the most fatal policy of all.***

"Democracy itself will not be able to stand another world war. To fight it you will have to abandon your parliamentary system and the financial needs could not be met by ordinary democratic methods.

"What matters is that we should not be drawn into any form of alliance system or counter-alliance system. *I venture to think that if we withdrew from Europe, it is the best contribution we can possibly make to the peace of Europe today.*"

Peace in Europe If British Withdraw

From "*Germany and France—the British Task*," in the *London Times*, February 1, 1935:

". . . War comes far more frequently from inability to change out-of-date political arrangements in time than from direct aggression.

"It (national socialism) has been strong enough to give Germany unity where it was terribly divided, to produce a stable government in place of weak and unstable government, and to restore to Germany national self-respect and international standing. One thing, too, about it is not generally understood. It is not imperialist in the old sense of the word."

* * *

In the light of England's declaration of war on Germany for Hitler's invasion of Poland, the following words of Lothian, spoken in 1936, seem to fix responsibility for the present war squarely on Great Britain.

"I do not think that we are prepared to go to war for questions in Eastern Europe, and therefore the sooner we make that clear to Europe the better for us, for Europe, and for peace. Otherwise war will come and we shall be dragged into it exactly as we were in 1914 . . . I am inclined to think that Europe will never make peace within herself *until we leave her to do her own work.* I am not sure that Europe might not come to peace if it were made quite clear that no group in Europe could hope for a military alliance with us, that we are not concerned with that aspect of the European game at all."

On February 24, 1937, in a speech at the Reform Club, Manchester, England, Lothian, according to the *London Times*, declared: "If the theory of collective security got us into another European war, what should we be fighting for? We should be fighting to maintain the anarchy of Europe, which is Europe's fundamental bane. I do not think that is a cause which is worth 1-million British lives."

Press and Public

Opinion

Guess Who?

"WE ARE not getting an adequate return in Washington, or to put it another way, we are spending altogether too much money for Government services which are neither practical nor necessary.

"I accuse the present administration of being the greatest spending administration in peacetime in all our history, one which has piled bureau on bureau, commission on commission, and has failed to anticipate the dire needs or reduced earning power of the people.

"On my part I ask you to assign to me the task of reducing the annual operating expense of the National Government."

Now who do you suppose said that?

Guess. You're right:

It was Franklin D. Roosevelt, speaking at Sioux City, Iowa, on September 29, 1932!

* * *

Training for Girls

IN AN INTERVIEW with members of a woman's press club recently, Mrs. Roosevelt expressed herself in favor of a plan for the compulsory training of young men and women alike.

The plan which the President's wife is said to favor would call for every young man and woman, upon reaching the age of 18, to give one year to the country in training for service.

"It is only a few months ago—less than a year, in fact — since Americans were shocked by news from Germany that the Nazis had instituted compulsory service for young women," comments the *Boston Post*, which adds:

"It is doubtful that, at the present time, the people are for compulsory training for young men, and it is practically certain that the idea of compulsory training for girls will come as quite a surprise to the community as a whole.

"It means simply a regimentation of the people, no matter how it is considered, and Mrs. Roosevelt will have a difficult time convincing people that 'if we don't do this, someone will walk straight over us.'

"England is engaged in a war in which her very existence is at stake, but she hasn't come to compulsory training for all young women of England.

"We are at peace, and ought to be able to remain at peace, and it seems hardly possible that such a system is necessary for American girls."

Whatever may be said for an honest regimentation of the unemployed and undisciplined youth of America, the whole merit of any such proposal is brought into question when the plan and the direction are put into the hands of undesirable Leftist and anti-Christian elements.

* * *

What Kind of Peace?

"IT WOULD be instructive to know what kind of a peace Mr. Roosevelt thinks would follow another victory in Europe won by American arms," observes the *Chicago Tribune*. "If Mr. Roosevelt gets his way," the editor goes on, "that means full speed toward a war we are not prepared to fight; full speed toward the slaughter of a generation of young Americans; full speed toward dictatorship in this country."

* * *

Way to Solidarity

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT explained that his appointment of Cols. Stimpson and Knox to the Cabinet was "in line with the overwhelming sentiment of the nation for national solidarity in a time of world crisis . . ."

Public reaction to the appointments "indicates no increase in national solidarity," the *New York Sun* points out. "Nothing that these two former Republicans are and nothing that they can do will decrease the feeling that the New Deal has engendered by its seven years of failure, extravagance, neglect of defenses, persecution of business and espousal of socialism," the *Sun* adds, and asserts:

"The one thing that could heal the wounds inflicted by the New Deal and insure a campaign without bitterness *would be an announcement from Mr. Roosevelt that, respecting the American tradition, he would not accept a nomination for a third term.*"

"There is no situation confronting the United States justifying a coalition government," adds the *St. Louis Post Dispatch*. "What we need now in the War and Navy departments is not two intensely interventionist politicians, but two corkingly good administrators and production experts who know how to spend the billions Congress has voted for defense—men like Knudsen and Chrysler, Lindbergh and Rickenbacker, men who know how to make the wheels go round and how to get a dollar's worth of value for a dollar's worth of money."

While the *St. Louis Globe Democrat* tops off the editorial debate with this contribution:

"There are many Republicans who approve Roosevelt and many Democrats who do not. The lines therefore must be drawn upon the issues of Roosevelt totalitarianism and Willkie Americanism."

'Rent Sails in the Sunset'

— Cartoonist Parrish in the Chicago Tribune

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Tour Dates at the Shrine

THE SCHEDULE of tours to the Shrine of the Little Flower, in Royal Oak, Michigan, has been so arranged as to enable each group of visitors to arrive at the Shrine in time to assist at the 10:30 o'clock Mass, at which Father Coughlin will officiate on the date set for each pilgrimage.

In response to a large number of inquiries regarding pilgrimages to Royal Oak, arrangements have been made with various groups throughout the nation to sponsor special low-cost trips. Railroad companies and bus lines have consented to give a reduction to these groups when they are composed of more than 300 persons.

If you desire to visit the Shrine in Royal Oak, now is the time to do so with one of these official tours, thereby taking advantage of the special rates offered.

Mr. Joseph B. DeMoor, 2739 Gravois Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri (Prospect 3700), has arranged for the largest group ever to leave St. Louis and Southern Illinois. The round trip can be made for the exceptionally low cost of $12.51. This price includes air-conditioned Wabash chairs, lunch and dinner in Detroit, and bus transportation to and from the Shrine. Train leaves St. Louis Union Station, Saturday, July 20, 6:15 p.m., arrives back in St. Louis, Monday, July 22, 8 a.m.

Saturday and Sunday, July 27 and 28—Chicago, Illinois

Mr. John Donovan, 7451 Luella Avenue, Chicago (Saginaw 1824), and Mr. Edward Rogers have taken great pains to secure a very low-cost bus trip for those living in and around Chicago: $10 round trip includes five meals and fine hotel accommodations.

Sunday, July 28 only—Cleveland, Ohio

Contact Mr. William F. Chambers, 4420 Rocky River Dr. (Clearwater 6566); Mr. Patrick Barrett, 3415 W. 97th Street (Melrose 7188); and Mr. John M. Pressler, 3450 W. 50th Street (Melrose 7326); all in Cleveland.

Sunday, August 4—Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Mrs. Anna M. Nardina, 3927 Howley Street, Pittsburgh (Schenley 4199); $9.50 round trip. Leave Pittsburgh 11:25 p.m., Saturday, August 3. Leave Detroit Sunday, August 4, 11:25 p.m. The round trip fare includes breakfast at the Detroit Leland Hotel. Bus transportation to and from the Shrine. Reservations on this trip are limited. Tickets must be had in advance

Sunday, August 18—Rochester, New York

Mr. Urban G. Kress, Social Justice Club of Rochester, 63 Willite Avenue, Rochester, New York.

Labor Day this year shows all signs of seeing the largest crowd ever to visit the Shrine in one day. Pilgrims from New York, Brooklyn, Long Island, New Jersey and the New England states can make reservations and inquiries by contacting Mr. Bryan B. O'Rourke, 1807 Weschester Avenue, Bronx, New York (Weschester 7-1731—7-1371), or the Erie Railroad Company, 12 W. 51st St., New York City (Circle 5-7222—5-7225). Never again, in all probability, will you be able to make a trip like this for the low cost of $23.25. Mr. John C. Corbley, 38 Columbia Avenue, Newark, New Jersey (Essex 2-0314), is conducting a tour from Jersey City and Newark, New Jersey, for $19.25 round trip and also a 3-day economy tour all expenses, $32.50. Both the New York and New Jersey pilgrimages offer a side trip to Niagara Falls included in the round trip prices.

The second tour from Philadelphia will also visit the Shrine on Labor Day. This trip from Philadelphia is being sponsored by Mr. James Furey, Jr., 2800 Grays Ferry Avenue, Philadelphia (Ful. 3811), $14 round trip, and children between 5 and 12, $8 round trip.

Those who are planning to visit the Shrine on Labor Day with any of the above mentioned tours should make their reservations well in advance to insure themselves a place in this Labor Day vacation weekend.

For the information of those faithful supporters of Father Coughlin who live in and around Cincinnati, Ohio, do not think that we have forgotten all about you, for there are plans in the making for a special reduced rate tour from your section of the state.

Watch this page of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine for more tour information.

Mothers Alert

TO THE EDITOR:

The conditions of the United States are becoming more critical as each day goes by. Within these United States there are those who want us to defend America by aiding the Allies. Who are the "Allies"? As it now stands there are no Allies—Britain remains alone.

What plausible reason is there why the citizens of the United States of America should give their life's blood for the handful of money lords who are playing this war-game?

If the *Johnson Act* is repealed (and, as one Congressman has said, "it is already half repealed") the United States will be a belligerent nation. The citizens of this country should not permit this to happen.

Such conditions as these call for action. Therefore, we, the Mothers of the United States of America (Cleveland District), are circulating petitions to retain the *Johnson Act*. When the petitions will have been signed, a delegation of mothers will take the petitions to Washington in person.

We shall welcome any inquiries or co-operation that may be forthcoming.

—Mothers of the United States of America

Mrs. D. Stanley, Pres.

Cleveland, Ohio

Rules of the Contest

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, May 13, 1940. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 11th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses or with the world's leading men at this time and the effects of their actions on current events.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

EXTRA CONTEST COUPON FOR A FRIEND

"Contest Manager," SOCIAL JUSTICE, Royal Oak, Michigan

Following are my selections of answers to all 50 questions of the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest. I am enclosing $2.50, payment in full.

No. 1	For Question No. 1 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 26	For Question No. 26 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 2	For Question No. 2 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 27	For Question No. 27 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 3	For Question No. 3 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 28	For Question No. 28 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 4	For Question No. 4 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 29	For Question No. 29 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 5	For Question No. 5 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 30	For Question No. 30 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 6	For Question No. 6 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 31	For Question No. 31 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 7	For Question No. 7 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 32	For Question No. 32 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 8	For Question No. 8 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 33	For Question No. 33 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 9	For Question No. 9 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 34	For Question No. 34 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 10	For Question No. 10 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 35	For Question No. 35 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 11	For Question No. 11 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 36	For Question No. 36 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 12	For Question No. 12 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 37	For Question No. 37 I select Answer No. ☐
No. 13	For Question No. 13 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 38	For Question No. 38 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 14	For Question No. 14 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 39	For Question No. 39 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 15	For Question No. 15 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 40	For Question No. 40 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 16	For Question No. 16 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 41	For Question No. 41 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 17	For Question No. 17 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 42	For Question No. 42 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 18	For Question No. 18 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 43	For Question No. 43 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 19	For Question No. 19 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 44	For Question No. 44 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 20	For Question No. 20 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 45	For Question No. 45 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 21	For Question No. 21 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 46	For Question No. 46 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 22	For Question No. 22 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 47	For Question No. 47 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 23	For Question No. 23 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 48	For Question No. 48 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 24	For Question No. 24 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 49	For Question No. 49 I Select Answer No. ☐
No. 25	For Question No. 25 I Select Answer No. ☐	No. 50	For Question No. 50 I Select Answer No. ☐

MY NAME IS_____

MY ADDRESS IS_____

TOWN OR CITY_____ STATE_____

If entering now, fill in this coupon in full and return with $2.50.
If already entered, fill in from where you left off.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Let Us Be 'Fifth Column' Americans

ASKED ABOUT the strength of his forces against Spain's Communist regime, General Mola of Franco's army said: "I have four columns of soldiers, and a fifth column in Madrid."

The general was referring to the Christians of the Spanish capital who, though under the lash of the Reds, were aiding the cause of the Nationalist Christian forces.

The expression, "fifth column" arose, therefore, out of the struggle of Spanish followers of Christ to throw off the yoke of Bolshevist murderers. It has been twisted in this country by the Reds to mean anti-Americanism.

Using the original and correct meaning of the words, SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine belongs in the "fifth column."

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is contending week after week for a maintenance of genuine Americanism, free of Bolshevist taint.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is fighting for a continuance of freedom and justice under the Stars and Stripes—fighting for it against the powerful forces of Red internationalism which aim at destruction of human freedom and confiscation of all wealth.

SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine is struggling to keep Truth afloat in America.

In addition to the loyal army of present sellers, SOCIAL JUSTICE needs 5,000 additional distributors throughout the country—to meet public demand for this magazine.

We want men and women, boys and girls, to sell the magazine on Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday of each week. WE WANT THEM NOW.

You can make money selling SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. Write for particulars and see.

And Here Is Our Remarkable Free Trial Offer

We can prove to you that there is a mighty, nationwide, ever-growing demand for SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

We shall send you two weekly bundles of magazines — any quantity up to 25 — ABSOLUTELY FREE. You sell them at 10 cents per copy. You keep all the money.

Then, when you KNOW you can earn a nice income, we want you to pledge yourself to become a regular distributor.

That's fair, isn't it?

All right. Fill in and return IMMEDIATELY the Order Blank on this page. It contains all the terms of our proposition. Do this right now. Within a few days you will receive your first bundle — FREE, except for the small Express service charge of about 20 cents.

As a distributor, you will be selling the most daring truth-telling publication in the United States today. And you will aid in keeping the United States out of foreign war.

Use the Order Blank now!

ORDER BLANK

Circulation Manager, SOCIAL JUSTICE
Royal Oak, Michigan:

Please send me at once ABSOLUTELY FREE two consecutive weekly bundles of _____copies of SOCIAL JUSTICE. (Any number up to 25.)
(each bundle)

I understand I am to sell them and keep all sales proceeds.

In the event I am satisfied with my sales and feel that I can earn money as a steady distributor, I shall order future bundles according to the terms enjoyed by all regular distributors. I understand there is no obligation upon me to do this.

*My Name Is*_____

*My Address Is*_____

*City or Town*_____ *State*_____

This offer is made only to persons not now selling or distributing SOCIAL JUSTICE

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

New Dealers— Stop, Look and Listen!

1932 has come and gone.

And with the years have gone the hopes woven around the name of Roosevelt.

America has become the land of the money changers, their chieftain, Baron Rothschild, having arrived on our shores to take over the helm.

And where are the original New Dealers?

Where is Raymond Moley?

He has gone into the discard because his honesty at the London Monetary Conference was not in keeping with the intrigue of the day.

One needs not continue writing the litany of names from Moley down to Farley.

Yes; James Farley has gone into the discard. The man to whom Franklin Roosevelt owed most for his political rise was spurned, contemned and disregarded by the boll-weevil intruders who gained entrance into the legs of the White House dining table.

And what has happened to Thomas Corcoran these past three weeks?

It is known in inner circles that "Tommy, the Cork," has met the fate of "Ray, the Mole."

As the Democratic delegates and politicians gather at Chicago to chronicle their idle gesticulations, nominating a candidate for the presidency, let them be assured that the man they make will be the man who will unmake them.

"*Sic transit gloria mundi*" – So passeth the glory of this world.

Kubla Kahn used the ungentle method of an axe to rid himself of unpleasant heads of departments.

His successors use the more gentle method of the whispering campaign.

And still he clings – and so does the Democratic National Committee – to "Chip" Roberts, high-ranking officer in the Committee.

You do not know the story of your "Chip." Well, let's skip it.

If we may venture into the realm of speculation, honest Jim Farley soon will have done with the Democratic Party.

Possibly overtures will be made to Frank Walker to assume the reins so gladly dropped by the cleanest, fairest and most faithful servant who risked his all for Franklin Delano Roosevelt.

And, Mr. Delegate, or Mr. Politician, when will your turn come?

Stop, look and listen.

All 50 Questions in 'Men and Money' Contest in This Edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED